

CITY ATTORNEY'S IMPARTIAL ANALYSIS OF MEASURE _____

If approved by a majority of voters, this Measure will allow Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to replace the existing 14 gate terminal with a modern 14 gate, 355,000 square foot terminal at one of two Airport locations: Adjacent Property or Southwest Quadrant. City Council placed this on the ballot pursuant to an earlier measure giving voters final say on approval of a replacement terminal.

Adjacent Property is located along Hollywood Way and Southwest Quadrant is located along Empire Avenue . Aside from replacement terminal , other improvements authorized are air cargo and maintenance buildings, airport-fire-police station, extension of taxiways, airfield improvements, loop road, employee and public parking with valet center , with public parking spaces remaining at current number . The Southwest Quadrant location requires existing general aviation facilities to move to Adjacent Property; FedEx and UPS would move to other Airport property.

Once replacement terminal is opened, existing terminal and adjacent parking structure will be demolished ; no buildings may be erected there. Authority must comply with over 200 conditions , mitigation measures and design features reducing environmental impacts to less than significant except for four air quality impacts; three of which would occur with or without this project and the fourth occurring only if terminal is on Southwest Quadrant.

If approved, it ratifies a 20 year term Development Agreement which freezes current zoning and uses, but allows for future compatible uses and authorizes future agreements necessary to implement project. While not required to be built, replacement terminal may be built any time during the 20 years, once Authority completes federal environmental review. Approval also ratifies minor amendments to Planned Developments changing Adjacent Property from partially to entirely airport zoned . Airport uses are currently prohibited on Adjacent Property by easement, which will be modified to allow airport uses. Authority must pick only one location for replacement terminal. If terminal is built on Adjacent Property, the easement will terminate, but use of Adjacent Property may not be altered or expanded, even to add more terminal gates , without approval from Burbank City Council under CA Public Utilities Code §2166 1.6.

With a "yes" vote, governance of Authority changes to give Burbank a greater voice in Airport's future. This change requires 2 of 3 commissioners from each of the 3 cities to vote affirmatively for certain actions, such as to increase number of terminal gates, allow parking of passenger aircraft other than at terminal, expand terminal, change voluntary nighttime curfew or other noise rules, or change support for federally imposed nighttime curfew. A "yes" vote approves the replacement terminal project and continues an era of cooperation .

A "no" vote would reject replacement terminal project; these governance changes will not take effect; and Authority has stated its intent to build a smaller 14 gate terminal on Southwest Quadrant and not use Adjacent Property. Without City approvals in place, conflicts might arise again because Authority contends this smaller terminal requires no City approvals, but this is a legally disputed question.

Amy Albano
Burbank City Attorney

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure _____. If you desire a copy of the measure, please call the Burbank City Clerk's Office at (818) 238-5851 and a copy will be mailed to you at no cost or you may access the measure and other election material from the internet on the City's home page at www.burbankca.gov



ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE ____

As required by Burbank voters 16 years ago, the decision on a new airport terminal is in voters ' hands. We believe the terms negotiated for this proposal, a result of 70 meetings taking public input over three years, offer powerful, new protections for residents. We urge you to vote "YES! "

Voting Yes will allow construction of a terminal with the same 14 gates as today 's, but in a new building, safer from earthquakes , and offering amenities travelers expect. Gate areas will be comfortable , luggage carousels move indoors , and the facility would be fully accessible to the disabled .

Safety improvements include moving the terminal more than two football fields further from the runway. Today's terminal, just 250 feet from the runway center line, would be demolished .

Because the feature is beloved, the new terminal would still employ boarding and exiting aircraft using rear stairs . The airport is also helping to lobby Congress for a mandatory night-time curfew.

The terms give Burbank the power to stop future changes . like any move to add gates , alter the voluntary curfew, and more. Means to discourage adding flights include limiting airport parking to 6,637 spaces, just as there are today.

No City funds would be used. Federal grants and fees added to tickets at every airport would be among construction funding sources .

T he l ength and placement of current runways will not change.

Expansion and much more can be blocked by just two of Burbank's three representatives on the Author ity that runs the airport, even if outnumbered by other commissioners .

If this measure does not pass, the Airport Authority announced it will build a new terminal on a less desirable site the Authority maintains Burbank cannot control. We would lose the limits, the "blocking" power, and all other protections described .

Please, vote "Yes " to protect Burbank .

Linda Walmsley
Community Activist

Jess Talamantes
Mayor, City of Burbank

Will Rogers
Vice-Mayor, City of Burbank

Bob Frutos
Council member, City of Burbank

Emily Gabel-Luddy
Council member, City of Burbank

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE ____

VOTE NO ON THIS MEASURE I VOTE NO ON AIRPORT EXPANSION

In 2000 Burbank voters overwhelmingly voted to protect their quality of life from more traffic , pollution and noise an expanded airport terminal would certainly bring. That vote followed decades of bitter disputes over airport terminal expansion efforts without a protective nighttime curfew or cap on flights . Burbank spent millions of tax dollars in court winning protections from unbridled airport expansion.

The City Council majority recently voted for an expanded terminal in two different places without knowing which one will be built. That vote gives away the hard fought land use protections Burbank won in court in exchange for so-called "governance " protections, which offer NO REAL PROTECTION at all. In this deal the Airport can sue Burbank, but Burbank cannot sue the Airport. A NO VOTE is the ONLY real protection left for the PEOPLE of Burbank to retain Airport accountability , transparency and oversight.

The new, expanded terminal project was rushed through environmental review wit h inadequate project descriptions , minimal public participation and hollow claims of no future increase in airport capacity, traff ic or noise. Under the false and deceptive guise that a "supermajority Airport vote" protects us, in reality, a yes vote on this Measure provides the Airport with carte blanche to expand the terminal beyond 355,000 sq. ft., abandon support for voluntary or mandatory nighttime curfews, acquire more land and add more gates without further environmental review. Even worse , appointed Airport Commissioners will potentially make all of these irreversible future Airport expansion decisions, behind closed doors , without public input or notice.

Vote No on this Measure for continued protection from overreaching Airport expansion, traffic , noise and air pollution. Say NO to wasteful spending of tax dollars, surrendering our court won environmental protections and forfeiting transparent and accountable Airport governance.

David Gordon
City Councilmember

