INDEPENDENT AUDITORS’ REPORT

The Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of Burbank Burbank, California

Compliance

We have audited the City of Burbank’s (the City) compliance with the applicable provisions of Assembly Bill 2766, Chapter 1705 (Health and Safety Code Sections 44220 through 44247) (AB2766) applicable to the City’s Air Quality Improvement Special Revenue Fund (AQMD Fund) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Management's Responsibility

Compliance with the requirements referred to above is the responsibility of City’s management.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on City’s compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and AB2766. Those standards and AB2766 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a material effect on the City’s AQMD Fund occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about City’s compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of City’s compliance with those requirements.

Opinion

In our opinion, City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that are applicable to AQMD Fund for the year ended June 30, 2021.
Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit, we considered City’s internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City’s internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses in internal control over compliance. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the AB2766. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Irvine, California
November 23, 2021