

Burbank Channel Bikeway

Neighborhood Survey Results

In January 2014, 940 surveys were mailed out to residents and property owners in the neighborhood adjacent to the Burbank Western Channel. The survey was made available online and in Spanish. The City also advertised the survey on the project webpage and disseminated information about the survey through the City's E-Notify email distribution system.

Question	Mailed (113 Respondents)	Online (87 Respondents)	Total (200 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Mailed (42 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Online (21 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Total (63 Respondents)
For which of the following do you ride a bike in Burbank?						
Commuting (Work)	10.9%	31.0%	19.6%	11.9%	33.3%	19.0%
Commuting (School)	2.7%	5.7%	4.0%	2.4%	9.5%	4.8%
Errands/Shopping	13.6%	33.3%	22.2%	19.0%	33.3%	23.8%
Exercise	45.5%	66.7%	54.7%	50.0%	71.4%	57.1%
Fun	42.7%	63.2%	51.6%	45.2%	61.9%	50.8%
N/A	40.9%	17.2%	30.6%	28.6%	19.0%	25.4%
What type of a walker are you?						
Consistently walk or run for exercise	35.5%	37.2%	36.2%	33.3%	23.8%	30.1%
Enjoy occasional daytime, evening or weekend walks	40.0%	57.0%	47.4%	52.4%	52.4%	52.4%
Walk to nearby transit options for commuting (work or school) purposes	4.5%	15.1%	9.1%	7.1%	9.5%	7.9%
Walk to nearby markets or shops	23.6%	46.5%	33.6%	28.6%	33.3%	30.2%
Rarely walk for exercise, pleasure or other purposes	18.2%	9.3%	14.3%	9.5%	23.8%	14.3%
Do you think you would walk or ride more if you lived near a new bike and						
Yes	62.2%	78.2%	69.2%	69.0%	61.9%	66.6%
No	18.9%	13.8%	16.7%	21.4%	28.6%	23.8%
Not Sure	18.9%	8.0%	14.2%	9.5%	9.5%	9.5%

Question	Mailed (113 Respondents)	Online (87 Respondents)	Total (200 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Mailed (42 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Online (21 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Total (63 Respondents)
Should bike facilities be improved in the City of Burbank?						
Yes	65.5%	84.7%	73.9%	68.3%	71.4%	69.3%
No	14.5%	4.7%	10.2%	19.5%	14.3%	17.8%
Not Sure	20.0%	10.6%	15.9%	12.2%	14.3%	12.9%
If you answered "Yes" to the previous question, please answer this question. Why should bicycle facilities be improved and expanded in the City of Burbank? Rank answers on scale of 1 (least useful) to 5 (most useful)						
See Attachment 1 for ranked answers						
Do you believe the bike path could improve the area along the Burbank Channel?						
Yes	67.6%	82.6%	74.1%	71.4%	71.4%	71.4%
No	13.5%	4.7%	9.7%	19.0%	14.3%	17.4%
Not Sure	18.9%	12.8%	16.2%	9.5%	14.3%	11.1%
If you answered "Yes" to the previous question, please answer this question. Will the bike path improve issues related to? Rank answers on a scale of 1						
See Attachment 1 for ranked answers						
Do you believe that issues will be created because of the addition of a new bike path?						
Yes	37.8%	23.5%	31.6%	40.5%	42.9%	41.3%
No	25.2%	56.5%	38.8%	28.6%	42.9%	33.4%
Not Sure	36.9%	20.0%	29.5%	31.0%	14.3%	25.4%
Would you prefer the path to be located on the same side of the Burbank Channel as your property, or on the other side of channel?						
Your Side	31.7%	40.5%	35.5%	46.2%	47.6%	46.7%
Opposite Side	26.7%	16.7%	22.4%	30.8%	33.3%	31.6%
Not Sure	41.6%	42.9%	42.2%	23.1%	19.0%	21.7%
If your street has a cul-de-sac, would you be opposed to having access to the pathway at the end of your street?						
Yes	24.2%	17.8%	21.4%	42.1%	25.0%	36.4%
No	49.5%	56.2%	52.4%	39.5%	56.3%	45.1%
Not Sure	26.3%	26.0%	26.2%	18.4%	18.8%	18.5%

Question	Mailed (113 Respondents)	Online (87 Respondents)	Total (200 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Mailed (42 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Online (21 Respondents)	Block Adjacent Total (63 Respondents)
Would you prefer that an undercrossing (a pathway under the street) be constructed to cross Alameda or a crosswalk in the street?						
Undercrossing	47.1%	52.4%	49.4%	50.0%	52.4%	50.8%
Crosswalk	26.0%	17.1%	22.1%	22.5%	19.0%	21.3%
Not Sure	26.9%	30.5%	28.5%	27.5%	28.6%	27.9%
Do you regularly use the Lake/Alameda Greenway (between Alameda Avenue and Victory Boulevard)?						
Yes	34.3%	32.5%	33.5%	52.4%	55.0%	53.3%
No	65.7%	67.5%	66.5%	47.6%	45.0%	46.7%

**Attachment 1
Ranked Responses**

Question	Mailed (113 Respondents)					Online (87 Respondents)					Block Adjacent - Mailed (42 Respondents)					Block Adjacent - Online (21 Respondents)				
Why should bicycle facilities be improved and expanded in the City of Burbank? Rank answers on scale of 1 (least useful) to 5 (most useful)																				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Better access to work place	23.5%	9.8%	19.6%	13.7%	33.3%	8.1%	9.5%	10.8%	16.2%	55.4%	23.5%	11.8%	0.0%	23.5%	41.2%	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	11.8%	52.9%
Better access to neighborhood destinations like shopping areas/banks/coffee shops	9.8%	4.9%	14.8%	23.0%	47.5%	3.9%	5.3%	7.9%	17.1%	65.8%	17.4%	0.0%	8.7%	21.7%	52.2%	11.8%	5.9%	11.8%	23.5%	47.1%
Better access to neighborhood parks and playgrounds	4.9%	8.2%	16.4%	19.7%	50.8%	4.0%	5.3%	10.7%	18.7%	61.3%	4.5%	9.1%	13.6%	18.2%	54.5%	5.9%	23.5%	5.9%	35.3%	29.4%
Better access to transit	14.0%	12.3%	21.1%	19.3%	33.3%	6.8%	8.1%	17.6%	17.6%	50.0%	21.1%	5.3%	10.5%	36.8%	26.3%	6.3%	6.3%	25.0%	25.0%	37.5%
Better access to schools	16.4%	7.3%	20.0%	18.2%	38.2%	8.2%	9.6%	20.5%	13.7%	47.9%	10.5%	0.0%	10.5%	26.3%	52.6%	5.9%	17.6%	29.4%	17.6%	29.4%
Safer, off-street facilities to ride your bike and walk	10.1%	1.4%	4.3%	13.0%	71.0%	3.9%	2.6%	5.3%	6.6%	81.6%	16.0%	4.0%	8.0%	4.0%	68.0%	5.9%	0.0%	5.9%	11.8%	76.5%
Connection to other (current and future) bike facilities	10.0%	16.7%	20.0%	10.0%	43.3%	6.6%	0.0%	3.9%	14.5%	75.0%	15.0%	15.0%	25.0%	10.0%	35.0%	11.8%	0.0%	5.9%	5.9%	76.5%
Encouraging cycling as an active form of exercise	10.3%	7.4%	16.2%	22.1%	44.1%	3.9%	6.6%	7.9%	6.6%	75.0%	12.5%	8.3%	29.2%	12.5%	37.5%	0.0%	11.8%	11.8%	5.9%	70.6%
To make use of area next to Burbank Channel	11%	12.5%	14.1%	23.4%	39.1%	4%	5.6%	11.1%	13.9%	65.3%	20.8%	20.8%	8.3%	20.8%	29.2%	5.9%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	58.8%
Why should bicycle facilities be improved and expanded in the City of Burbank? Rank answers on scale of 1 (least useful) to 5 (most useful)																				
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Crime/Safety/Security	26.0%	15.6%	19.5%	7.8%	31.2%	8.6%	2.9%	20.0%	27.1%	41.4%	29.6%	25.9%	11.1%	0.0%	33.3%	13.3%	0.0%	26.7%	20.0%	40.0%
Noise	36.1%	18.1%	11.1%	15.3%	19.4%	11.4%	10.0%	31.4%	27.1%	20.0%	39.1%	21.7%	8.7%	13.0%	17.4%	26.7%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	13.3%
Nuisance/Loitering	29.3%	13.3%	32.0%	6.7%	18.7%	10.0%	11.4%	20.0%	28.6%	30.0%	32.0%	8.0%	40.0%	4.0%	16.0%	20.0%	13.3%	13.3%	20.0%	33.3%
Graffiti	34.2%	20.5%	15.1%	15.1%	15.1%	14.5%	10.1%	21.7%	26.1%	27.5%	36.0%	20.0%	12.0%	16.0%	16.0%	33.3%	6.7%	20.0%	6.7%	33.3%

<p>Would walk or ride more if they lived near a new bike and pedestrian pathway</p>	<p>Online Survey - Comments</p> <p>I would want to make use of an outdoor area designed for the type of exercise I'm most interested in; currently I don't live especially close to something like that, so this would be very appealing to me.</p> <p>Want to become less dependant on gas.</p> <p>Better ride than streets.</p> <p>It would make the city much more safe for non-motorists since Burbank does not have very strict laws against motorists harming bicyclists, and when I (a girl) was ran down and hit, BPD told me it was my word against the motorist and would do nothing. He could have killed me. If that happened my family would take legal action against burbank for their lack of Bicycle safety.</p> <p>The more safe, convenient options there are for biking and walking, the safer I'll feel when biking and walking. Also, it'll keep me out of the streets, which I'm sure will make drivers a bit happier...like this --> :)</p> <p>I commute by bike and it is nice to have options for the routes I take</p> <p>Need to get around Burbank to feel safe</p> <p>If I had the impression it was safer to ride in Burbank (as a Bike Path will do) I'd be more comfortable on my commutes and errands (and my family would be more comfortable with it as well because they couldn't afford to stay in Burbank if I got run over by a truck).</p> <p>I love having dedicated bike routes around Burbank, and the proposed extension would bring one even closer to my apartment.</p> <p>Much less probability of an accident! Safer in that sense. Of course I would never use the pathway at night unless there are open businesses around it.</p> <p>I love biking where I am safe from traffic</p> <p>Many streets in Burbank, such as Hollywood Way, do not have adequate room for cyclists to ride between rushing cars and parked cars. It is much more dangerous to bicycle here in Burbank than Santa Monica, which has plenty of city-wide bicycle lanes.</p> <p>More/closer pathways means easier access.</p> <p>convenience and safety</p> <p>Have a dog and would love a new safe place to walk him!</p> <p>I live at the end of the proposed extension and currently love the trail, but it's a bit far to get to. I would love it to come a bit closer to connect it to downtown Burbank (via the bridge on Olive).</p> <p>Absolutely. I think even those people who say they wouldn't, say that only because it's been inaccessible for so long. They may react differently once it's there. I grew up in Oregon where people bike all the time because it's available and it's fun.</p> <p>Look at the Chandler bike path and how much use that gets!</p> <p>I would make a bike path very close to my house.</p> <p>Easy access & beautiful site, please add large tree as many as you can.</p> <p>It would be much safer</p> <p>convenience</p> <p>Need safety for my kids</p> <p>Safety issues</p> <p>Just feeling safer on the path rather than mixed in with traffic.</p> <p>It's faster and more fun. Our weather is always good for a ride.</p> <p>Avoid traffic</p> <p>safety is very important</p> <p>I always feel safer on a dedicated bike/pedestrian path. It is far more enjoyable to walk/bike when you're protected from vehicle traffic and don't have to worry about looking over your shoulder all the time.</p> <p>It would be safer.</p> <p>Chandler Bike path is lovely, but too far to get to it and not enough entrances.</p> <p>Would love more walkways in Burbank !!!!! Like Valencia</p> <p>I would feel safer.</p> <p>It would feel a lot safer, we live near busy streets.</p> <p>I already use the Lake/Alameda Greenway almost daily because it is safer and more quiet than walking on Victory Blvd. to Alameda.</p>
--	---

Would walk or ride more if they lived near a new bike and pedestrian pathway

Mailed Survey - Comments

Victory Blvd. currently blocks our access to quiet walks because it is too busy to cross with a child.
For pleasure, exercise, save gas, help environment.
Because we need more places where we can walk and ride without the interference of vehicular traffic.
Close to me and I need the exercise.
Because its there.

It feels unsafe to ride on streets. I know someone that was a very safe bike rider and got hit by a car and was killed.
Would be another option,
Easy access and no cars.
Safe
Own an apartment building on Alameda by the proposed bike way, it would be great for tenants,
I like to be physically active.
A safe open attractive and dedicated location is more inviting,
If it is easy to use, people will use it, It is a safer option for younger cyclists,
For exercise
I normally walk to the Metrolink station.
It's off the City streets
Because almost every time I ride my bike on streets, I have to stop suddenly and/or almost get hit by traffic.
Not having to drive in order to walk.
Avoid cars and traffic
More fun
Convenient/no traffic! Pretty views? Flowers?
Easier
It's nice to have a safe bikepath off the main road away from cars.
Convenience and walking on pathway is more pleasant.
Security choices for us as a family are very important!
Safety, Accessibility
convenience and comfort
It would be more convenient.
Good for your health.

Would NOT walk or ride more if they lived near a new bike and pedestrian pathway

Online Survey - Comments

I would be concerned about my personal safety on the bike path.
Because I already ride on the street if no bike path is available.
I've walked the Chandler path. I always felt like I was going to be run over by a cyclist - some would NOT give the right of way to walkers.
Because I already use a bike and/or walk as my primary mode of transportation--i.e., I don't really have many additional trips to make by any type of mode!
People who bike are generally obnoxious.
I would probably have an easier time getting my hubby to walk with me!
It's just not my thing.
We walk at a different location not far from our residence.
We are close to age 80
i live near the bikepath and only walk it

Mailed Survey - Comments

Unable
I can walk in the neighborhood do not need a bike path.
It would not take me anywhere I want to go.
I have children , single mom, not enough time, with all we do.
Long stretches of unobserved, unpatrolled pathways are generally an attractive nuisance.
Bad knees
Too busy.
Streets are fine now.
Bad knee.
I would walk in any case,
Traffic, money cost, few use existing bike path.
I have a sidewalk in front of my house, which is probably safer than a secluded pedestrian walkway or bike path along some flood channel.

Believe that issues will be created because of the addition of a new bike path

Online Survey - Comments

Already have issues with loitering & graffiti - expect these would get worse if there is a more accessible area
 Creating a formal bikeway along the channel opens up residents' homes to security and crime concerns. You would need policing or at least security cameras to deter loitering and any unintended use. Privacy and observation of houses with inadequate fencing is also a concern. Gang activity has decreased in years past with gates and limited access measures. I would hate to see crime statistics increase if they are discontinued. Although it has greatly improved the appearance of the channel on the Greenway side, graffiti still happens there, and you can't generally see down the length of the Greenway unless you are on it.
 Lower property value (our house is directly adjacent to channel on east side), Crime/safety/security, noise, nuisance/loitering, graffiti, homeowner privacy, drug use, homelessness, gangs, etc.
 Homeless people will use the bike path as home like in other places. I would not feel safe using it at night.
 The biggest issue here is the irresponsible use of our tax dollars.
 I have lived here 40 + years, Graffiti and Littering is what the path has brought to the neighborhood.
 Prior history tells us the access provided is used for "no good". The city closed off Ash Ave due to increased crime. Thefts, drugs, sanitation problems etc. Homes are too close to the wash to offer a feeling of security.
 neighbors in the area will lose some of the yard space adjacent to their homes
 We worry about loitering, transients and crime.
 Crime, loss of privacy for those adjacent to the proposed bike path.
 Construction noise, possibly crime would be an issue, and Graffiti
 Parking is already a problem on our street this will add to the problem.

Also items listed at # 7

All changes create issues but the more activity in an area the less crime the less vandalism.
 someone will always complain.
 walkers joggers do not always understand the concept of a bike path.

Mailed Survey - Comments

Could cause problems we don't need.
 No parking on street. Dogs barking.
 Question: will there be any law enforcement patrol? will there be a quick response when called? I totally respect our police department my dad is a retired police officer. I believe this will only add to an already demanding job.
 Creating a honey hole for more graffiti and crime already have enough.
 More of graffiti, crime, safety, security, noise and loitering.
 Trash and graffiti.
 The path would be a place where people who don't wish to attract the police would use to get around the City.
 Crime
 Interferes with the many drivers in Burbank
 More bike traffic will increase access to adjacent house and all the issues listed in #5 (Crime/Safety/Security, Noise, Nuisance/Loitering, Graffiti)
 It will create more traffic more graffiti, more places for losers to hide and destroy property.
 Will interrupt transit much more.
 I'm concerned about the safety of the new path.
 Safety
 Crossing certain intersection on bike path.
 More loitering and possible ways to cause trouble.
 Change brings issues. There is potential for accidents.
 Graffiti might be an issue, however, monitored it can be controlled.
 Traffic is really bad, there are way too many cars/people, and no place left for bike path without adversely affecting traffic flow.
 Crime could increase if areas are too isolated.
 This project corresponds to a national trend of reducing obesity by exercise.
 Maybe more crime because of less exposure to other people in shops are on street. Too secluded.
 More traffic and few use the paths that are in place.
 It will become an escape route for crime! An open road for gang activity.
 Any issues would be positive!
 Temporary issues during building and construction.
 Security
 Bikepath does bring more people and attention. More people, more issues.

Do NOT believe that issues will not be created because of the addition of a new bike path	Online Survey - Comments
	There are a lot of people who would use the route for exercise and be used far too much to encourage loitering or any other unsavory things.
	I can't think of any issues related to expanding safe, accessible biking facilities.
	I believe people will use the bike trail the way they use the Chandler railroad bike path and that is always full of people riding and walking. Open space encourages more people to use it rather than closed off space that only criminals access.
	Any issues seem to be from the increase in the number of cars and the lack of road space. Encouraging cycling reduces the number of cars and frees up traffic all over!
	Even if issues arise, the benefits will far outweigh any negatives. And those negatives can be eventually addressed too.
	Bicycles are clean, silent, and fun! I can't think of a single way creating a bike path would create any issues.
	There are not that many cyclists and they don't cause problems.
	Lighting and pathways will make it more traveled. People up to no good are going to hang out in the area if there is no path there, probably less attractive if it will be traveled.
	Active people don't cause issues, idle people do.
	It will be an improvement all around.
	Mailed Survey - Comments
	We don't have a lot of crime as it is in Burbank.
	If thought out, most issues can be resolved.
	I believe the more people bike the more people to report suspicious activities,
	We have police men on bikes.
	Hoodlums will be hoodlums
	The current condition is dangerous, unsightly, dirty. Improving this area is a great idea.
Improve Better for community.	

<p>Prefer the path to be located on the same side of the Burbank Channel as their property</p>	<p>Online Survey - Comments</p>
	<p>The small section that is built now is a great little walk. I love having it so accessible to my residence. doesn't matter to me.</p>
	<p>Easier access!</p>
	<p>My property value will rise because of access to walking and biking path.</p>
	<p>The close, the better!</p>
	<p>why would you offset from other path?</p>
	<p>On the west side (connecting to the current path) would be closer to residential neighborhoods and a good place to start!</p>
	<p>Want it convenient to use</p>
	<p>easier access</p>
	<p>Better/easier access.</p>
	<p>Mailed Survey - Comments</p>
	<p>I would love the end of my street (200 block of West Elmwood) to look nice and to see people walking and riding. I would love for the bridge to be kept open.</p>
	<p>better access</p>
	<p>Closer for access.</p>
	<p>Easier access for me.</p>
<p>Kind of a pit around there right now</p>	
<p>Trashy</p>	
<p>It doesn't really matter to me.</p>	
<p>Convenience</p>	
<p>But either side is fine.</p>	
<p>It would look symmetrical and more ergonomic.</p>	
<p>Easier access for kids on our block...mostly non-residential on other side.</p>	
<p>Prefer the path to be located on the other side of the Burbank Channel than their property</p>	<p>Online Survey - Comments</p>
	<p>same side as the bike path from Alameda, don't need additional traffic & people using & parking on the street</p>
	<p>To keep traffic out of my neighborhood.</p>
	<p>First of all, easements are much wider on the west side of the channel and further from single family homes. Our quality of life will be seriously compromised if the bike path is on the east side of the channel, directly adjacent to our house. For all of the aforementioned reasons, we DO NOT WANT the bike path on our side of the channel, which is the east side. We are very serious about this. It will also most certainly lower our property value.</p>
	<p>Reduction in noise.</p>
	<p>See answer to question 8.</p>
	<p>Larger spaces, fewer single family homes. Lg. apartments with more people to monitor security and to filter noise. Many have video surveillance.</p>
	<p>I don't want people to have access to our back yard, which runs right along the channel.</p>
	<p>This question does not make sense because there are properties on both sides of the channel.</p>
	<p>The further away the better for items listed on # 7</p>
	<p>Mailed Survey - Comments</p>
	<p>To help keep problems away from our side.</p>
	<p>We operate a business. Residential on other side.</p>
	<p>It took us several years to put a fence up at the end of the street.</p>
	<p>Prefer not either side - increased crime activity - less privacy. Beautiful mature trees would need to be removed.</p>
<p>I live alone in a house with my windows facing the Channel. This is a very peaceful area quite and relaxed area with birds singing the path would destroy the area.</p>	
<p>possible crime increase, more traffic going by.</p>	
<p>Ease of access to Metro line</p>	
<p>Safety and Noise.</p>	
<p>I have no desire to live next to such a boondoggle.</p>	
<p>I don't want it near me.</p>	
<p>I don't want it on either side, but there is less trees and shrubs to remove on the other side.</p>	
<p>Too many paths already and it's not fun when I have to drive to take the kids everywhere.</p>	
<p>Do not want improved visibility and vulnerability of my home with increased pathway improved access.</p>	
<p>To avoid more bike interruptions.</p>	
<p>Too close to property lines.</p>	

<p>If their street has a cul-de-sac, they would be opposed to having access to the pathway at the end of their street</p>	<p>Online Survey - Comments</p> <p>Street already has major issues with parking and loitering - don't need that to get worse</p> <p>My street, Mariposa, does not cul-de-sac but when I ride I like to be able to access the bike paths as often as possible, Again to keep traffic out of my neighborhood.</p> <p>VERY OPPOSED!!! We already have a lot of cars zooming up our street only to make U-turns at the very end. We have excessive parking already on our street from nearby apartments. PRIVACY, SAFETY, SECURITY, in the form of increased foot traffic will be a serious problem and will compromise our quality of life.</p> <p>Less noise, safety for children, getting to know your close neighbors - these are only a few of the reasons why it's desirable to live at the end of a street. The loss of these positive aspects of a neighborhood, added to a possible increase in illegal and crowded parking, would discount the reason for choosing to live in a cul-de-sac or the end of a street.</p> <p>Absolutely, due to our previous experience, when the street was opened. The city closed it off for a lot of good security reasons. It would be stupid to open it up now so we can repeat the old problems again</p> <p>Cul-de-sacs are known to be quiet without a lot of traffic. A path would negate that for residents who purposely moved there for quiet.</p> <p>more traffic</p> <p>If someone bought property in a cul de sac they would not appreciate the increase in traffic/noise. They most likely bought it for child safety, & quiet. I did because I work at night and need to sleep during the day .</p> <p>more people is more noise and the risk of crime</p>	
	<p>Mailed Survey - Comments</p> <p>Do not want additional activity and problems that would occur.</p> <p>More bike traffic on the street and noise. Easier access for criminal activity and loitering.</p> <p>I bought this house because I felt safe at the end in a cul-de-sac with not much traffic. I had a stoker once too much trauma.</p> <p>Less traffic in the neighborhood means less noise and havoc.</p> <p>It would be more difficult to go into and out of the driveway with increased activity.</p> <p>People using my street for parking - too many apartments now.</p> <p>I don't want strangers outside of my home.</p> <p>Parking</p> <p>Loitering = "more"</p> <p>Privacy</p> <p>Big security problem for crime. The path of escape!</p> <p>Wouldn't want access to my street by non-residents</p>	
	<p>If their street has a cul-de-sac, they would NOT be opposed to having access to the pathway at the end of their street</p>	<p>Online Survey - Comments</p> <p>The more easily accessible, the better!</p> <p>It would be more convenient to be able to have direct access rather than having to go around when I ride or run errands on foot.</p> <p>That would turn cul-de-sacs into more livable streets and probably improve my property value!</p> <p>I'd want the pathway as accessible as possible.</p> <p>Again, property value will increase with direct access to pathway.</p> <p>Better access to the path!</p> <p>I impact would be very small. No problem.</p> <p>I would want to be close to the bike path</p> <p>My street doesn't have a cul-de-sac but if it did I would welcome the easy access to the path.</p>
		<p>Mailed Survey - Comments</p> <p>I would do anything to get our street cul-de-sac-ed.</p> <p>Cul-de-sac is busy enough now.</p> <p>Not the case.</p> <p>Could enter from my own street; excluding cars.</p> <p>I want more people to ride and cul-de-sacs are generally safe in regards to traffic.</p> <p>Increased bike/pedertrian traffic in neighborhood is not a good thing.</p> <p>You need a way in and out.</p> <p>I want access at the end of my street.</p> <p>But stop dying it red to limit parking.</p> <p>Easier commute.</p> <p>More access, the better.</p> <p>Don't live on cul-de-sac</p> <p>What is the point of having a pathway if you don't have access to it.</p> <p>Improve</p>

Prefer that an undercrossing (a pathway under the street) be constructed to cross Alameda	Online Survey - Comments
	safer for bikers
	less disruptive to traffic
	Or bridge.
	Drivers, especially commuters, are generally looking for cars. Motorcyclists, bikers and pedestrians are the last things they notice.
	Safer for everyone!
	The less crosswalks = the less intersections I have to deal with and as a bicyclist, intersections are the most dangerous places.
	Safe route, plus less traffic needs
	Alameda can get pretty busy sometimes, and adding a crosswalk so close the the Victory crossing seems like it would only cause more stoppages there. Undercrossing wouldn't bother anyone.
	People are more likely to use the path if they don't have to try to cross Alameda, which is always quite busy.
	If it not cost prohibitive, an undercrossing would be nice.
	Under crossing is much safer and more efficient for the biker.
	Alameda is a very busy street. Limiting the pedestrian traffic would be safer and keep traffic flowing.
	Safer, faster, more convenient, more comfortable (both physically and mentally). Absolutely make crossing grade separated if at all feasible.
	Because under crossings create culture. they are something different/interesting/fun. Add some fun sculpture or even better, street painting (planned street painting, see cityrepair.org) and you have yourself a neighborhood where people can meet.
	Momentum is very important to cyclists. There fewer stops the better. A crosswalk will also slow down Alameda traffic,
	The more bikes are separated from cars the safer they are.
	I don't like the idea of stopping cars just for a bicycle to cross. If they would cross at an already established crossing then the undercrossing would not e
	Obviously more expensive but also much safer.
	Anytime you can separate or isolate people from automobiles is a great thing!
	Vehicle/bike/pedestrian safety and traffic.
	If it is financially feasible, I think an undercrossing is always the safer option and would encourage more people to use the path, in that they won't be beholden to traffic signals.
	Mailed Survey - Comments
	Safety issues.
	Less pedestrian traffic the better.
	To stay out the way of drivers.
	A crosswalk would stop traffic at an unexpected distance, close to the signal, inviting accidents and further slowing traffic access to I-5
	Safety.
	That way traffic of cars won't be impeded.
	Safety/Alameda is a busy street.
	There is already a crosswalk about 40 yards away at Glenoaks and Victory. That section at Glenoaks is dangerous and we don't want people crossing illegally, against a light, or in a danger zone. An undercrossing is safer, more efficient, and user friendly,
	Because it would not interrupt traffic and it would be safer for everyone.
Anything that does not impede traffic is good, but bridges are very expensive.	
With eventual IKEA traffic I expect that it will be dangerous for bikers.	
Safer, although the undepass would/could create an area for crime at night.	
maintain traffic flow.	
Safety	
Undercrossing would be safer.	
I think it would be safer and create less traffic congestion and it's very busy between Lake St. and Victory.	
Safer	
Safer for bikers/kids/runners and less traffic.	
Much safer.	
An undercrossing would allow for un-interrupted riding on the path.	
Any way we can separate the two forms of transit is an improvement.	
Easier/Safe	
Safer	
Safe	
The crosswalk will slow down traffic on Alameda Street.	
Safety	
Do the right for safety.	

<p>Prefer that a crosswalk in the street be constructed to cross Alameda</p>	<p>Online Survey - Comments</p> <p>An undercrossing seems "seedy" to me.</p> <p>Undercrossings are frequented by vagrants, drunks, drug users, and criminals because they are out of sight. Keep the crossings in plain view for maximum safety.</p> <p>A well-designed crossing with lights (especially for people riding at night) would be preferable. A pathway underneath may be dark and not as inviting.</p> <p>Please no undercrossing because that will breed more crime.</p> <p>Faster to completion and slows vehicle traffic</p> <p>Under crossing always smell of urine and are dark.</p> <p>I think undercrossings are dark and dank and kind of creepy</p> <p>Mailed Survey - Comments</p> <p>Cheaper, less chance for loitering, crime, littering -dirt.</p> <p>If it had to happen above ground. I believe there will be more crime activity in undercrossing.</p> <p>Safety, Graffiti</p> <p>Undercrossings have been closed in Glendale by Glendale College and beneath Glendale Blvd. in the Atwater area of L.A. to crime, trash, and graffiti.</p> <p>Under street does not seem safe in this neighborhood.</p> <p>Safer</p> <p>An undercrossing would have to be very well lit, with cameras (fake or real) and be patrolled for bums living in them, Not an overpass. People can cross at a signal like everyone else.</p> <p>Not necessary</p> <p>Undercrossing could present new opportunity for loitering.</p>
<p>Regularly uses the Lake/Alameda Greenway (between Alameda Avenue and Victory Boulevard)</p>	<p>Online Survey - Comments</p> <p>Not often though. It does not connect to any destination or other paths.</p> <p>It's very close to my house and convenient.</p> <p>I love it but I would love to see it expanded into Glendale.</p> <p>Commuting to work mostly, nbut also taking visitors out for bike rides to show them the neighborhood, shop, etc...</p> <p>It's a great way to across that section of town without worrying about cars and traffic, and with much more pleasant scenery.</p> <p>Nice neighborhood.</p> <p>A few times a month, and would use it more if it were extended.</p> <p>go to recycle place.</p> <p>TO GO TO STORE OR GO TO dOWNTOWN bURBANK</p> <p>See answer #3 (I already use the Lake/Alameda Greenway almost daily because it is safer and more quiet than walking on Victory Blvd. to Alameda).</p> <p>Mailed Survey - Comments</p> <p>To get to the fwy.</p> <p>Exercise,</p> <p>Everyday when I walk my dogs.</p> <p>dog walking</p> <p>At least once a week and I have seen only one bike in the path a few walkers but not one a day, maybe one out of three times I walk.</p> <p>I use it whenever I ride my bike to Griffith Park</p> <p>exercise.</p> <p>I use this for jogging.</p> <p>Everyday</p> <p>walking dog/riding bikes</p> <p>Try it...you will like it.</p>

Does NOT use the Lake/Alameda Greenway (between Alameda Avenue and Victory Boulevard

Online Survey - Comments

I never use the path because it is far from where I live..

It's not a place that people are watching or can easily be seen if something goes wrong or needs attention. You're pretty much up the creek without a paddle if you need any help.

Do not feel safe using it. Doesn't lead to anywhere useful anyway.

I wasn't aware that their is a greenway.

I live closer to Burbank Media Center.

I didn't know it was there.

It is not along my commute path. When it was along my commute path I probably would not have used it because it did not connect to anything. I chose to take my chances riding on Flower St.

Didn't know it was there. Looks pretty short. Make it longer and connect it with the LA river bikeway.

I prefer the Chandler bikepath. It seems a safer area.

Didn't even know it existed! Things need to have better signs--especially from the Chandler bike path!

I will look into it! I'm always looking for new areas to walk.

Does not connect places I normally travel to.

I did not know about it.

Been having some health problems

We are not sure of it's location

didn't know it was there

Not familiar with it.

I live closer to the chandler bike path

Opposite side of town

Not convenient. Lake also has shady characters hanging out during day.

I have used it but it's not very close to me so I don't get over there much. But it's a very nice bike path!

I live near Burbank+Buena Vista.

hope to soon

It's not near my house. I would have to drive to it

Too far from my house. I use the Chandler Bike Path a lot.

I live on the other side of Victory, so don't go that direction and it isn't long enough for me to take to get to downtown area.

don't live nearby

don't live there

Mailed Survey - Comments

Don't need to.

Out of the way. I use Main to Riverside to the horse bridge to Griffith Park or to Warner Bros.

Too short a distance to make it a destination ride/walk

Not sure location.

Was not aware of it.

I prefer walking on sidewalks where there is more than a flood channel.

It's a bad area to start with. I'm afraid of the crime. I don't use the one that's there. It's a waste of City money.

Didn't know it existed.

Too remote; kids can loiter without being seen there and I don't want to be alone walking without any access to an escape route. Graffiti happens still on the Lake Alameda Greenway near me.

Not sure, what Greenway?

Where is it?

Don't live near this venue.

Did not know it was there.

Do not live in the area,

I have no use for it now, maybe in the future.

It is off my path of use and very short, good for sometime walkers and kid riders who are doted on by their parents.

Did not know it existed.

Greenway? In Burbank? I've walked all over there, not sure what that is, or where it is.

It's nearby but there is no reason for me to use this.

I just heard about it a couple of days ago.

Not in my route.

Because there are fences put up by property owners.

Don't need it. How much money has been spent on painting out graffiti on the Greenway?

Prefer the Chanandler path

No reason to use it at this time, if it went to Olive I would use it.

Recently had health issues. I used to use it regularly.

Didn't know it existed.

Don't ride that way

Don't go that way.

I don't live very close to there.

Additional Comments**Online Survey - Comments**

Although I like the idea of a new bike path, I will likely never use it since I do not live near the path. We own a piece of property right next to the wash. If the bike path will prevent crime and not create more traffic on Alameda, then I support the project.

Not sure why the emphasis on biking - never see people using the bike lane on Verdugo, little biking on the Chandler bike path (mostly walkers). Would like to see better public transportation within the city

Stop studying and start building.

I encourage

- the use of security cameras,

- pathway name or logo posting,

- easily visible street name signage (like " ___ W. Ash"),

- adequate lighting along the path.

- enhance the finished, visual aspects of the path through attractive placement of signage, lights, plants and waste receptacles at the end of streets (please try to avoid centering lone trash cans for the eye to find first).

Thank you very much for this survey. Very nice of you to offer to the neighbors.

Great idea, let's make it happen!

I would love to see a network of pedestrian bridges or tunnels that cross the 5 and the Metrolink and better bike lanes on the streets that do cross. I commute on bicycle to Glendale and I cross on Alameda. It can get a little scary, especially on the way back with the white knuckle downhill ride through the tunnel. The other options to cross don't have satisfactory bike facilities and have steep hills. I tried Olive, but the bike lane ends right before the freeway overpass and I had to take a blind turn on a very busy street. I also tried Sonora Ave, but I did not like bicycling on Flower near the freeway exit. At the very least, improved bike lanes on these streets would be a big step forward. The trail is a great idea, but please remember that a lot of bicyclists need the streets to accommodate them too.

Thanks for listening! Chris

We live near the bike path adjacent to Buena Vista and Winona (Grismer area) and would love for it to connect to a path through Burbank but also to the path that extends adjacent to Glenoaks and into Sun Valley. Eventually one large bike path look from one end of burbank, through Sun Valley, around Chatsworth and back along Chandler would be terrific.

There is also serious concern about the destruction of old growth foliage at the end of our street. We really wish you'd just leave everything alone. We like our greenery, our privacy, our rights to live in the house that we chose because of it's unique position without neighbors on three sides. That's why we live here, that's why we love it. As stated before, the bike path will seriously compromise our safety, security, privacy, and quality of life as we currently enjoy it. Thank you.

I commute to work on my bike. It was after riding for a while (few months) in bike paths that I felt confident enough to use it on the streets. By creating paths you are opening safe places for everyday people to feel more confident on their bikes and encouraging a healthy lifestyle.

The Chandler Bike path needs to connect better, and in the meantime you should concentrate on making it easier to find other destinations, like this path, Griffith Park, etc. from it!

If this path connected (to Chandler) somehow you'd have a bike path from the NoHo Red/Orange line stations to the Metrolink in Burbank. Seems like *that* could be quite useful!

No Art in Public Places for this Project, Last time someone destroyed the base of what looked like a attempt at that. Signed, The King of Lake Street.

Thanks! I hope to be at the 2/26 meeting!

Please be so kind as to not share my email address with other entities for any reason. Thank you so much for taking the time to hear my opinion.

Put it on the other side (west side) . Homes are further away. and they don't appear to be opposed to it.

For those worried about safety, we should discuss Neighborhood Watch options.

would like to see more projects like bike lane for residents that don't live near by Chandler Blvd.

I appreciate your survey, however, your survey did not speak to cost as to how it would impact tax increases for home owners in this area.

If this Bikeway project increases our city taxes we vehemently oppose the program.

What is "Controlled local street crossing"? Will the be across the flood control channel from "compass tree park?"

I don't live near the site but I have been commuting to work in Burbank via bike for 9 yrs now. Any funding spent on making biking safer is money well spent. I'd like to see a safer way to get from the airport area to barham.

I am glad Burbank is encouraging people to ride bikes.

Bike riding is fun and good for you. I taught at Muir and Burbank High for 31 years and I either rode my bike or walked to work over 80% of the time.

It would be wonderful to have the path that runs along the Los Angeles River through Glendale continue through Burbank and into the valley!!

Overall I think this is a win-win for the City of Burbank and can't understand why anyone would oppose this.

Bike and walking lanes make Burbank a better city to live in. I enjoy being out doors and active in the community. We need an annual community bike ride to tour the bike amenities in the city. Burbank summer or fall tour. Would be a great community event. Thanks

Please do it soon!

is this something the people want or city employees foist upon them? that's what matters

I'm 73 and too old to ride a bike but walk many miles on a daily basis along the LA river. The proposed Channel Bikeway would be perfect for people like me.

Additional Comments**Mailed Survey - Comments**

Against the whole idea in that particular area.

Over the years we have spend countless hours keeping our properties nice, cleaning up Lake Street and reporting criminal activity - this is an expensively bad idea.

Thank you for sending this survey. Please consider this area has had many drugs and gang problems it is not Chandler Blvd. open area. This channel is quite and secluded.

Would like to see something like what you did in Chandler Blvd.

Waste of money.

Do not build this!!!!

How can people feel safe on bike way? Will police ever be present?

Bike path bring up values in area.

If I ever get pulled over or my day is ruined or delayed, I'm hiring a lawyer. This City is too small for all this.

I don't recall the Greenway ever being promoted as a future part of a bikeway I thought it was just to clean up the channel, and to facilitate kids walking to school. Bikers would pose a hazard to walkers unless the Greenway or new bikeway is clearly divided. Bikers go very quickly and are a menace to slower walkers -- take a look at accidents that happen on the Chandler bikeway. Thank you.

Bikes are difficult when traffic is heavy such as Alameda and Olive. Creating a path will make it worse, especially for senior citizens,

Really concerned about safety!!!

Bed and bathroom windows right at pathway. Sketchy area already. In Burbank can you believe it?!

That area has a lot of apartments, it would provide an area for tenants to get out and walk and exercise.

Waiting to see the project will look like.

Bike/ walk infrastructure is great! Thanks for considering it.

I think it'd be great for our community to become bike friendlier. I think it's good to get to know your neighbors while walking too.

Money would probably be better spent fixing the mess that was created when the mall went in without requiring infrastructure upgrades from the developer and/or businesses.

Its a good idea but hopefully it doesn't create an extra area for crime and teenage tagging and crime.

Please reduce congestion on Verdugo by scaling back bike lanes.

This project sounds very good as long as property taxes ar not increased.

Save the City money.

Since I don't live where the path would actually be and I'm 96 years old it would not likely affect me.

I own property in Burbank, but do not live there. I think bike paths are a good thing so a "yes" vote from me.

ike facilities should not be improved at the cost of Burbank home owners, privacy, security, and the decreasing value of their property. The path will increase all problems: crime/safety/security, noise, nuisance/loitering, graffiti. If any of you who read this survey lived along this flood channel then you would understand the problems a path way will have for the people who live or have property along this channel.

Safer for the kids who use my street to walk to school. Beautification of a currently ugly area home value improvement.

I'm very excited about this project! Have been looking forward to it since you completed the greenway. I am hoping this will also provide open access at all times to the bridge as I use this frequently to pick up my grandkids from school and its now usually closed/locked by 3-3:30 pm and both granddaughters attend after school and so I don't pick up until 4 pm. If it was open, we would always walk! I also lived in Copenhagen, Denmark for 6 months and realize all the benefits more biking and walking provide a city and its people! This is an amazing project. Thank you Burbank!

Make sure taxes don't go up.

Great idea. We need a way to corss Interstate 5 on a bicycle, over passes are not safe for bicycles.

Good job on completing bike path.

Yay! It's hard to sum up how great a biking community can be.

I support the bikeway 100%. We need to encourage exercise which improves our health and mental well being.

This is a great idea. About time.

Please email survey results above.

As a adjacent property owner to the Burbank Channel, you have my full support. Great idea!!

Put it in. Bike pathway.

BURBANK CHANNEL BIKEWAY PROJECT

Suggestions for Naming the Bikepath

