



City of Burbank Planning and Transportation Division APPEAL FORM

150 North Third Street Burbank, California 91502 www.burbankusa.com T: 818-238-5250 F: 818-238-5150

Any person may file an appeal within 15 days after a decision is made by either the Community Development Director or the Planning Board. The appeal must be accompanied by a filing fee as set forth in the Burbank Fee Resolution. If multiple parties wish to appeal a decision, they may either all sign the same appeal form, or each must submit a separate appeal form with the filing fee. All appeals will be processed at the same time. See Burbank Municipal Code §10-1-1907.1 et seq. for additional information.

Project Address:				
2311 N. Hollywood Way, Burbank, CA 91505				
Project Number: 20-0003289				
Type of Application:				
Approval of Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment				
- ' '	Director	Planning Board		
Astis a of the Discotor or Discotor Department	A	1 Daniel		
Action of the Director or Planning Board was:	Approval	Denial		
Purpose of Appeal: Please provide applicable code sections and explain reasons for the appeal. Attach additional sheets as necessary. See attachments.				
Appellant Name Mitchell M. Tsai, Attorney at Law o/b/o Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters	Second Appellant Name (if applicable)			
Mailing address				
139 S. Hudson Ave., Suite 200, Pasadena, CA 9110				
Telephone (626) 381-9248	Telephone			
Email info@mitchtsailaw.com	Email			
Appellant Signature	Appellant Signature			
Date: 10/11/2021	Date:			
		Planning Division Use Only		
All appellants must sign official appeal form. Attach	Filing Fee	Date Received		
additional appeal forms with signatures if more than two appellants.	Receipt No.	Received By		
	Notes			

Attachment to Appeal Form

On behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("Appellants" or "Southwest Carpenters"), my Office is submitting this Appeal in response to the City of Burbank ("City" or "Lead Agency") Planning Board's ("Board") decision to approve the Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment ("SCEA") (SCH No. 2021070154) for the proposed 2311 N. Hollywood Way Project, also known as the Burbank Aero Crossings Project ("Project"). Appellants request that the City Council reverse the Board's decision to approve the Project's SCEA, and to require either that the SCEA be revised and recirculated to address the concerns outlined below, or that the City prepare an environmental impact report for the Project.

Appellants incorporate by reference all comments raising issues regarding the SCEA submitted prior to adoption of the SCEA for the Project. *Citizens for Clean Energy v City of Woodland* (2014) 225 Cal. App. 4th 173, 191 (finding that any party who has objected to the Project's environmental documentation may assert any issue timely raised by other parties).

I. THE BOARD'S ADOPTION OF THE SCEA VIOLATES THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

A. <u>Background Regarding Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessments</u>

To achieve its objectives of environmental protection, the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), Cal Public Resources Code ("PRC") § 21000 et seq., has a three-tiered structure. 14 California Code of Regulations ("CCR" or "CEQA Guidelines") § 15002(k); Comm. to Save the Hollywoodland Specific Plan v. City of Los Angeles (2008) 161 Cal.App.4th 1168, 1185 – 86. First, if a project falls into an exempt category, or it can be seen with certainty that the activity in question will not have a significant effect on the environment, no further agency evaluation is required. Id. Second, if there is a possibility the project will have a significant effect on the environment, the agency must perform a threshold initial study. Id.; CEQA Guidelines § 15063(a). If the study indicates that there is no substantial evidence that the project may cause a significant effect on the environment the agency may issue a negative declaration. Id., CEQA Guidelines §§ 15063(b)(2), 15070. Finally, if the project will have a significant effect on the environment, an EIR is required. Id.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 2 of 25

Where a Project is determined to be a "Transit Priority Project" ("**TPP**") under Senate Bill ("SB") 375, a slightly different framework is applied. SB 375 provides CEQA-based incentives and streamlining for certain residential, mixed-use, and transportation-oriented developments. SB 375 includes two optional CEQA streamlining options for local lead agencies.

First, under SB 375, residential and mixed-use projects that (1) are consistent with the use designation, density, building intensity, and applicable policies specified in a California Air Resources Board ("CARB")-approved sustainable communities strategy ("SCS") or alternative planning strategy ("APS") and (2) incorporate mitigation measures required by an "applicable prior environmental document," which may include the environmental impact report for the regional transportation plan, need not reference, describe or discuss growth-inducing impacts or project-specific or cumulative impacts on global warming or on the regional transportation network arising from automobiles or light-duty truck trips generated by the Project. PRC §21159.28(a).

Second, TPPs consistent with the SCS or APS may qualify for a total CEQA exemption or a Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment. PRC §§ 21155.1-21155.2. A TPP is a specific project that must (1) be consistent with a CARB-approved SCS or APS; (2) contain at least 50 percent residential use, and if the project contains between 26 percent and 50 percent nonresidential uses, then a floor area ratio of not less than 0.75, (3) have a minimum net density of 20 units per acre; and (4) be located within one-half mile of a major transit stop or high-quality transit corridor included in a regional transportation plan. PRC § 21155.

A TPP may be reviewed through a SCEA provided, *inter alia*, that (1) an initial study identifies "all significant or potentially significant impacts of the transit priority project... based on substantial evidence in light of the whole record" PRC § 21155.2(b)(1); (2) the SCEA shall contain "measures that either avoid or mitigate to a level of insignificance all potentially significant or significant effects of the project required to be identified in the initial study" PRC § 21155.2(b)(2); and (3) "the lead agency's decision to review and approve a transit priority project with a sustainable communities environmental assessment" is "reviewed under the substantial evidence standard" PRC § 21155.2(b)(7). A SCEA is similar to a negative declaration in that the lead agency must identify and analyze all potentially significant or significant effects of the project and mitigate them to a level of less than significant.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 3 of 25

Prior to acting on the SCEA, a lead agency must consider all comments received. PRC § 21155.2(b)(4) A SCEA may be approved by the lead agency after conducting a public hearing, reviewing the comments received, and finding that: (A) all potentially significant or significant effects required to be identified in the initial study have been identified and analyzed, (B) with respect to each significant effect on the environment required to be identified in the initial study, either of the following apply: (i) changes or alterations have been required in or incorporated into the project that avoid or mitigate the significant effects to a level of insignificance, and (ii) those changes or alterations are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and have been, or can and should be, adopted by that other agency. PRC §21155.2(b)(5).

B. The SCEA and Responses to Comments Fail to Consider the Adoption of Community Benefits to Mitigate Significant Environmental Impacts

As stated in Appellants' August 9, 2021 comment letter ("SCEA Comments"), the City should require the Applicant to provide additional community benefits such as requiring local hire and use of a skilled and trained workforce to build the Project. Appellants requested that the City require the Project applicants to utilize a local workforce, and to use workers who have graduated from a Joint Labor Management apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California, or have at least as many hours of on-the-job experience in the applicable craft which would be required to graduate from such a state approved apprenticeship training program or who are registered apprentices in an apprenticeship training program approved by the State of California.

Appellants provided ample evidence of the benefits that local hiring and skilled and trained workforce policies can have not only for reducing the Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas ("GHG") emissions, Vehicle Miles Travelled ("VMT") and Transportation impacts of a project's construction, but also the benefits to the local economy. (SCEA Comments, 2-5 and Exhibits A – C attached hereto.) Appellants reiterate these arguments here in the interests of completeness.

Community benefits such as local hire and skilled and trained workforce requirements can also be helpful to reduce environmental impacts and improve the positive economic impact of the Project. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. Local hire provisions requiring that a certain percentage of

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 4 of 25

workers reside within 10 miles or less of the Project Site can reduce the length of vendor trips, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and providing localized economic benefits. As environmental consultants Matt Hagemann and Paul E. Rosenfeld note:

[A]ny local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling.

Skilled and trained workforce requirements promote the development of skilled trades that yield sustainable economic development. As the California Workforce Development Board and the UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education concluded:

... labor should be considered an investment rather than a cost — and investments in growing, diversifying, and upskilling California's workforce can positively affect returns on climate mitigation efforts. In other words, well trained workers are key to delivering emissions reductions and moving California closer to its climate targets.¹

Recently, on May 7, 2021, the South Coast Air Quality Management District found that that the "[u]se of a local state-certified apprenticeship program or a skilled and trained workforce with a local hire component" can result in air pollutant reductions.²

Cities are increasingly adopting local skilled and trained workforce policies and requirements into general plans and municipal codes. For example, the City of Hayward 2040 General Plan requires the City to "promote local hiring . . . to help

¹ California Workforce Development Board (2020) Putting California on the High Road: A Jobs and Climate Action Plan for 2030 at p. ii, *available at* https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/Putting-California-on-the-High-Road.pdf

² South Coast Air Quality Management District (May 7, 2021) Certify Final Environmental Assessment and Adopt Proposed Rule 2305 – Warehouse Indirect Source Rule – Warehouse Actions and Investments to Reduce Emissions Program, and Proposed Rule 316 – Fees for Rule 2305, Submit Rule 2305 for Inclusion Into the SIP, and Approve Supporting Budget Actions, available at http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/Agendas/Governing-Board/2021/2021-May7-027.pdf?sfvrsn=10

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 5 of 25

achieve a more positive jobs-housing balance, and reduce regional commuting, gas consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions."³

In fact, the City of Hayward has gone as far as to adopt a Skilled Labor Force policy into its Downtown Specific Plan and municipal code, requiring developments in its Downtown area to requiring that the City "[c]ontribute to the stabilization of regional construction markets by spurring applicants of housing and nonresidential developments to require contractors to utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs, . . ."⁴ In addition, the City of Hayward requires all projects 30,000 square feet or larger to "utilize apprentices from state-approved, joint labor-management training programs."⁵

Locating jobs closer to residential areas can have significant environmental benefits. As the California Planning Roundtable noted in 2008:

People who live and work in the same jurisdiction would be more likely to take transit, walk, or bicycle to work than residents of less balanced communities and their vehicle trips would be shorter. Benefits would include potential reductions in both vehicle miles traveled and vehicle hours traveled.⁶

In addition, local hire mandates as well as skill training are critical facets of a strategy to reduce vehicle miles traveled. As planning experts Robert Cervero and Michael Duncan noted, simply placing jobs near housing stock is insufficient to achieve VMT reductions since the skill requirements of available local jobs must be matched to those held by local residents. Some municipalities have tied local hire and skilled and trained workforce policies to local development permits to address transportation issues. As Cervero and Duncan note:

³ City of Hayward (2014) Hayward 2040 General Plan Policy Document at p. 3-99, available at https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/documents/General Plan FINAL.pdf.

⁴ City of Hayward (2019) Hayward Downtown Specific Plan at p. 5-24, available at https://www.hayward-ca.gov/sites/default/files/Hayward%20Downtown%20Specific%20Plan.pdf.

⁵ City of Hayward Municipal Code, Chapter 10, § 28.5.3.020(C).

⁶ California Planning Roundtable (2008) Deconstructing Jobs-Housing Balance at p. 6, available at https://cproundtable.org/static/media/uploads/publications/cpr-jobs-housing.pdf

⁷ Cervero, Robert and Duncan, Michael (2006) Which Reduces Vehicle Travel More: Jobs-Housing Balance or Retail-Housing Mixing? Journal of the American Planning Association 72 (4), 475-490, 482, available at http://reconnectingamerica.org/assets/Uploads/UTCT-825.pdf.

In nearly built-out Berkeley, CA, the approach to balancing jobs and housing is to create local jobs rather than to develop new housing." The city's First Source program encourages businesses to hire local residents, especially for entry- and intermediate-level jobs, and sponsors vocational training to ensure residents are employment-ready. While the program is voluntary, some 300 businesses have used it to date, placing more than 3,000 city residents in local jobs since it was launched in 1986. When needed, these carrots are matched by sticks, since the city is not shy about negotiating corporate participation in First Source as a condition of approval for development permits.

Appellants' suggestions regarding the merits and benefits of local hiring and skilled and trained workforce policies were also included in the September 27, 2021 comments in reply to the City's Response to Comments ("RTC Comments") The RTC itself declined to engage with Appellants' arguments, instead saying that Appellants' comments do "not concern any environmental issue or information addressed or contained in the SCEA," and that all project impacts would be less than significant and thus no further mitigation measures were warranted. (RTC, 113.) However, the community benefits listed above are meant to mitigate greenhouse gas, air quality and transportation impacts, in addition to benefitting the local area economically. To the extent that they mitigate many of the Project's impacts, and to the extent that the Project must consider additional mitigation measures to allow for environmental review of this Project through an SCEA, as argued below, the City should reconsider its dismissal of community benefit requirements as a mitigation measure.

C. <u>Due to the COVID-19 Crisis, the City Must Adopt a Mandatory Finding</u> of Significance that the Project May Cause a Substantial Adverse Effect on Human Beings and Mitigate COVID-19 Impacts

CEQA requires that an agency make a finding of significance when a Project may cause a significant adverse effect on human beings. PRC § 21083(b)(3); CEQA Guidelines § 15065(a)(4).

Public health risks related to construction work requires a mandatory finding of significance under CEQA. Construction work has been defined as a Lower to Highrisk activity for COVID-19 spread by the Occupations Safety and Health

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 7 of 25

Administration. Recently, several construction sites have been identified as sources of community spread of COVID-19.8

SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency adopt additional CEQA mitigation measures to mitigate public health risks from the Project's construction activities. SWRCC requests that the Lead Agency require safe on-site construction work practices as well as training and certification for any construction workers on the Project Site.

In particular, based upon SWRCC's experience with safe construction site work practices, SWRCC recommends that the Lead Agency require that while construction activities are being conducted at the Project Site:

Construction Site Design:

- The Project Site will be limited to two controlled entry points.
- Entry points will have temperature screening technicians taking temperature readings when the entry point is open.
- The Temperature Screening Site Plan shows details regarding access to the Project Site and Project Site logistics for conducting temperature screening.
- A 48-hour advance notice will be provided to all trades prior to the first day of temperature screening.
- The perimeter fence directly adjacent to the entry points will be clearly marked indicating the appropriate 6-foot social distancing position for when you approach the screening area. Please reference the Apex temperature screening site map for additional details.
- There will be clear signage posted at the project site directing you through temperature screening.
- Provide hand washing stations throughout the construction site.

⁸ Santa Clara County Public Health (June 12, 2020) COVID-19 CASES AT CONSTRUCTION SITES HIGHLIGHT NEED FOR CONTINUED VIGILANCE IN SECTORS THAT HAVE REOPENED, available at https://www.sccgov.org/sites/covid19/Pages/press-release-06-12-2020-cases-at-construction-sites.aspx.

Testing Procedures:

- The temperature screening being used are non-contact devices.
- Temperature readings will not be recorded.
- Personnel will be screened upon entering the testing center and should only take 1-2 seconds per individual.
- Hard hats, head coverings, sweat, dirt, sunscreen or any other cosmetics must be removed on the forehead before temperature screening.
- Anyone who refuses to submit to a temperature screening or does not answer the health screening questions will be refused access to the Project Site.
- Screening will be performed at both entrances from 5:30 am to 7:30 am.; main gate [ZONE 1] and personnel gate [ZONE 2]
- After 7:30 am only the main gate entrance [ZONE 1] will continue to be used for temperature testing for anybody gaining entry to the project site such as returning personnel, deliveries, and visitors.
- If the digital thermometer displays a temperature reading above 100.0 degrees Fahrenheit, a second reading will be taken to verify an accurate reading.
- If the second reading confirms an elevated temperature, DHS will instruct the individual that he/she will not be allowed to enter the Project Site. DHS will also instruct the individual to promptly notify his/her supervisor and his/her human resources (HR) representative and provide them with a copy of Annex A.

Planning

• Require the development of an Infectious Disease Preparedness and Response Plan that will include basic infection prevention measures (requiring the use of personal protection equipment), policies and procedures for prompt Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 9 of 25

identification and isolation of sick individuals, social distancing (prohibiting gatherings of no more than 10 people including all-hands meetings and all-hands lunches) communication and training and workplace controls that meet standards that may be promulgated by the Center for Disease Control, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Cal/OSHA, California Department of Public Health or applicable local public health agencies.⁹

The United Brotherhood of Carpenters and Carpenters International Training Fund has developed COVID-19 Training and Certification to ensure that Carpenter union members and apprentices conduct safe work practices. Appellants suggested in their SCEA Comments and RTC Comments that the City should require that all construction workers undergo COVID-19 Training and Certification before being allowed to conduct construction activities at the Project Site, and to utilize the measures outlined above.

The RTC and Board's dismissal of this important issue is an error. In its response to this comment, the City describes COVID-19 as being outside the scope of its CEQA review because exposing workers to COVID-19 is "an effect of the environment on a project" and not a project-related impact. (RTC, 114.) However, as described by the Supreme Court in *California Building Industry Assn. v. Bay Area Air Quality Management Dist.* (2015) 62 Cal.4th 369 at 388, CEQA does require such considerations for the purpose of evaluating "a project's potentially significant exacerbating effects on existing environmental hazards...." Construction of this Project would both cause and exacerbate significant COVID-19 health risks by introducing workers and the community to increased exposure and transmission risk. Consequently, Appellants maintain that the City must adopt a mandatory finding of significance and address this issue in a revised and recirculated SCEA or EIR. While the RTC notes that the Project would be required to be built in compliance with CDC, City and County of Los

⁹ See also The Center for Construction Research and Training, North America's Building Trades Unions (April 27 2020) NABTU and CPWR COVIC-19 Standards for U.S Constructions Sites, available at https://www.cpwr.com/sites/

default/files/NABTU CPWR Standards COVID-19.pdf; Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (2020) Guidelines for Construction Sites During COVID-19 Pandemic, available at https://dpw.lacounty.gov/building-and-safety/docs/pw_guidelines-construction-sites.pdf.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 10 of 25

Angeles guidelines, Appellants nevertheless request that the City Council consider adopting the measures detailed above.

D. <u>The Project Does Not Meet the Criteria for the Use of a Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment</u>

Senate Bill No. 375 requires regional planning agencies to include a sustainable communities strategy in their regional transportation plans. Gov. Code § 65080, sub.(b)(2)(B).) CEQA Guidelines § 15125(d) provides that an EIR "shall discuss any inconsistencies between the proposed project and…regional plans. Such regional plans include…regional transportation plans." Thus, CEQA requires analysis of any inconsistencies between the Project and the relevant RTP/SCS plan.

In April 2016, SCAG adopted the 2016 – 2040 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy ("2016-2040 RTP/SCS"), ¹⁰ which includes policies and strategies to will help the region achieve GHG emission reductions that would reduce the region's per capita transportation emissions by eight percent by 2020 and 18 percent by 2035. ¹¹ SCAG's RTP/SCS plan is based upon the same requirements outlined in CARB's 2017 Scoping Plan and SB 375.

On September 3, 2020, SCAG adopted the 2020 – 2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy, titled Connect SoCal ("2020-2045 RTP/SCS" or "Connect SoCal"). ¹² The 2020 RTP / SCS adopts policies and strategies aimed at reducing the region's per capita greenhouse gas emissions by 8% below 2005 per capita emissions levels by 2020 and 19% below 2005 per capita emissions levels by 2035. ¹³

For both the 2016 - 2040 RTP/SCS and Connect SoCal, SCAG prepared Program Environmental Impact Reports ("PEIRs") that include Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Programs ("MMRP") that list project-level environmental mitigation measures that directly and/or indirectly relate to a project's GHG impacts and

¹⁰ SCAG (April 2016) The 2016-2040 Regional Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy: A Plan for Mobility, Accessibility, Sustainability and a High Quality of Life, available at https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/f2016rtpscs.pdf?1606005557
¹¹ Id. at pp. 8, 15, 153, 166.

¹² SCAG (Sept 2020) Connect Socal: The 2020 – 2045 Regional Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy of the Southern California Association of Governments, *available at* https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/0903fconnectsocal-plan 0.pdf?1606001176
¹³ *Id.* At xiii.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 11 of 25

contribution to the region's GHG emissions.¹⁴ These environmental mitigation measures serve to help local municipalities when identifying mitigation to reduce impacts on a project-specific basis that can and should be implemented when they identify and mitigate project-specific environmental impacts.

1. <u>The Project Fails to Incorporate All Feasible Mitigation Measures Contained</u> in SCAG's 2016-2040 RTP/SCS and Connect SoCal

Section 21155.2 of the Cal. Public Resources Code requires that a TPP incorporate all feasible mitigation measures, performance standards, or criteria from prior applicable environmental impact reports. However, the Project's SCEA fails to incorporate many applicable mitigation measures identified in SCAG's 2016 – 2040 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy ("2016-2040 RTP/SCS"), SCAG's 2020 – 2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy ("2020-2045 RTP/SCS" or "Connect SoCal"; collectively with 2016-2040 RTP/SCS, the "RTP/SCS Plans") and their respective EIRs without making a feasibility determination. This includes the following identified mitigation measures, among others:

• <u>2016-2040 RTP/SCS</u>¹⁵

¹⁴ Southern California Association of Governments (April 7, 2016) Resolution No. 16-578-1: A Resolution of the Southern California Association of Government Certifying the Final Program Environmental Impact Report Prepared for the 2016 – 2040 Regional Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCH#2015031035) and Adopting Findings of Fact, a Statement of Overriding Considerations and a Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, Exhibit B, "Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program," available at https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-

attachments/2016fpeir exhibitb mmrp.pdf?1623887711; see also SCAG (Sept. 3, 2020) Connect SoCal Program Environmental Impact Report Addendum #1, available at https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-

attachments/fpeir connectsocal addendum complete.pdf?1606004379, at pp. 4.0-1 through 4.0-28.

¹⁵ Southern California Association of Governments (April 7, 2016) Resolution No. 16-578-1: A Resolution of the Southern California Association of Government Certifying the Final Program Environmental Impact Report Prepared for the 2016 – 2040 Regional Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy (SCH#2015031035) and Adopting Findings of Fact, a Statement of Overriding Considerations and a Mitigation, Monitoring and Reporting Program Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, Exhibit B, "Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program," available at https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/2016fpeir_exhibitb_mmrp.pdf?1623887711 at pp. 11 through 63.

o MM-AIR-2(b):

- Require contractors to assemble a comprehensive inventory list (i.e., make, model, engine year, horsepower, emission rates) of all heavy-duty off-road (portable and mobile) equipment (50 horsepower and greater) that could be used an aggregate of 40 or more hours for the construction project. Prepare a plan for approval by the applicable air district demonstrating achievement of the applicable percent reduction for a CARB-approved fleet.
- Develop a traffic plan to minimize traffic flow interference from construction activities. The plan may include advance public notice of routing, use of public transportation, and satellite parking areas with a shuttle service. Schedule operations affecting traffic for off-peak hours. Minimize obstruction of through traffic lanes. Provide a flag person to guide traffic properly and ensure safety at construction sites.
- Diesel- or gasoline-powered equipment shall be replaced by lowest emitting feasible for each piece of equipment from among these options: electric equipment whenever feasible, gasoline-powered equipment if electric infeasible.
- On-site electricity shall be used in all construction areas that are demonstrated to be served by electricity.
- If cranes are required for construction, they shall be rated at 200 hp or greater equipped with Tier 4 or equivalent engines.
- Use electric fleet or alternative fueled vehicles where feasible including methanol, propane, and compressed natural gas.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 13 of 25

- Use diesel construction equipment meeting ARB's Tier
 4 certified engines or cleaner off-road heavy-duty diesel
 engines and comply with State off-road regulation.
- Use on-road, heavy-duty trucks that meet the ARB's 2007 or cleaner certification standard for on-road diesel engines, and comply with the State on-road regulation.
- The number of construction equipment operating simultaneously shall be minimized through efficient management practices to ensure that the smallest practical number is operating at any one time.
- The engine size of construction equipment shall be the minimum practical size.
- Suspend all construction activities that generate air pollutant emissions during air alerts.

o MM-HAZ-1(b)

- A written plan of proposed routes of travel demonstrating use of roadways designated for the transport of hazardous materials
- Where the construction or operation of projects involves the transport of hazardous materials, avoid transport of such materials within one-quarter mile of schools, when school is in session, wherever feasible.
- Where it is not feasible to avoid transport of hazardous materials, within one-quarter mile of schools on local streets, provide notification of the anticipated schedule of transport of such materials.
- Specify the appropriate procedures for interim storage and disposal of hazardous materials, anticipated to be required in support of operations and maintenance activities, in conformance with applicable federal, state, and local statutes and regulations, in the Operations Manual for projects.

o Failure to analyze MM-TRA-5(b) feasible mitigations as they relate to Impact HAZ-5: the potential for the Project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. (SCEA, 4-45.)

o MM-TRA-1(b)

- Adopt a comprehensive parking policy to discourage private vehicle use and encourage the use of alternative transportation by incorporating the following:
 - Reduce the available parking spaces for private vehicles while increasing parking spaces for shared vehicles, bicycles, and other alternative modes of transportation;
 - "Unbundle" parking (require that parking is paid for separately and is not included in the base rent for residential and commercial space);
 - Use parking pricing to discourage private car use, especially at peak times.
- 2020-2045 RTP/SCS and the Connect SoCal PEIR Addendum #1, approved September 3, 2020¹⁶

o PMM AQ-1

Require contractors to assemble a comprehensive inventory list (i.e., make, model, engine year, horsepower, emission rates) of all heavy-duty off-road (portable and mobile) equipment (50 horsepower and greater) that could be used an aggregate of 40 or more hours for the construction project. Prepare a plan for

¹⁶ SCAG (Sept. 3, 2020) Connect SoCal Program Environmental Impact Report Addendum #1, available at https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/fpeir connectsocal addendum complete.pdf?1606004379, at pp. 4.0-1 through 4.0-28.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 15 of 25

approval by the applicable air district demonstrating achievement of the applicable percent reduction for a CARB-approved fleet.

- Develop a traffic plan to minimize community impacts as a result of traffic flow interference from construction activities. The plan may include advance public notice of routing, use of public transportation, and satellite parking areas with a shuttle service. Schedule operations affecting traffic for off-peak hours. Minimize obstruction of through traffic lanes. Provide a flag person to guide traffic properly and ensure safety at construction sites. Project sponsors should consider developing a goal for minimization of community impacts
- Require projects to use Tier 4 Final equipment or better for all engines above 50 horsepower (hp). In the event that construction equipment cannot meet to Tier 4 Final engine certification, the Project representative or contractor must demonstrate through future study with written findings supported by substantial evidence that by SCAG before approved using technologies/strategies. Alternative applicable strategies may include, but would not be limited to, construction equipment with Tier 4 Interim or reduction in the number and/or horsepower rating of construction equipment and/or limiting the number of construction equipment operating at the same time. All equipment must be tuned and maintained in compliance with the manufacturer's recommended maintenance schedule and specifications. All maintenance records for each equipment and their contractor(s) should make available for inspection and remain on-site for a period of at least two years from completion of construction, unless the individual project can demonstrate that Tier 4 engines

would not be required to mitigate emissions below significance thresholds. Project sponsors should also consider including ZE/ZNE technologies where appropriate and feasible.

- Projects located within the South Coast Air Basin should consider applying for South Coast AQMD "SOON" funds which provides funds to applicable fleets for the purchase of commercially available low-emission heavyduty engines to achieve near-term reduction of NOx emissions from in-use off-road diesel vehicles.
- The following criteria related to diesel emissions shall be implemented on by individual project sponsors as appropriate and feasible:
 - Diesel nonroad vehicles on site for more than 10 total days shall have either (1) engines that meet EPA on road emissions standards or (2) emission control technology verified by EPA or CARB to reduce PM emissions by a minimum of 85%.
 - Diesel generators on site for more than 10 total days shall be equipped with emission control technology verified by EPA or CARB to reduce PM emissions by a minimum of 85%.
 - Nonroad diesel engines on site shall be Tier 2 or higher.
 - Diesel nonroad construction equipment on site for more than 10 total days shall have either (1) engines meeting EPA Tier 4 nonroad emissions standards or (2) emission control technology verified by EPA or CARB for use with nonroad engines to reduce PM emissions by a minimum of 85% for engines for 50 hp and greater and by a minimum of 20% for engines less than 50 hp.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 17 of 25

- Emission control technology shall be operated, maintained, and serviced as recommended by the emission control technology manufacturer.
- Diesel vehicles, construction equipment, and generators on site shall be fueled with ultra-low sulfur diesel fuel (ULSD) or a biodiesel blend approved by the original engine manufacturer with sulfur content of 15 ppm or less.
- The construction contractor shall maintain a list of all diesel vehicles, construction equipment, and generators to be used on site. The list shall include the following:
 - o i. Contractor and subcontractor name and address, plus contact person responsible for the vehicles or equipment.
 - o ii. Equipment type, equipment manufacturer, equipment serial number, engine manufacturer, engine model year, engine certification (Tier rating), horsepower, engine serial number, and expected fuel usage and hours of operation.
 - o iii. For the emission control technology installed: technology type, serial number, make, model, manufacturer, EPA/CARB verification number/level, and installation date and hour-meter reading on installation date.
- The contractor shall establish generator sites and truck-staging zones for vehicles waiting to load or unload material on site. Such zones shall be located where diesel emissions have the least impact on abutters, the general public, and

especially sensitive receptors such as hospitals, schools, daycare facilities, elderly housing, and convalescent facilities.

- The contractor shall maintain a monthly report that, for each on road diesel vehicle, nonroad construction equipment, or generator onsite, includes:
 - i. Hour-meter readings on arrival on-site, the first and last day of every month, and on off-site date.
 - o ii. Any problems with the equipment or emission controls.
 - o iii. Certified copies of fuel deliveries for the time period that identify:
 - 1. Source of supply
 - 2. Quantity of fuel
 - 3. Quantity of fuel, including sulfur content (percent by weight)
- Project should exceed Title-24 Building Envelope Energy Efficiency Standards (California Building Standards Code).

o PMM GHG-1

"Measures that encourage transit use, carpooling, bikeshare and car-share programs, active transportation, and parking strategies, including, but not limited to... Limit[ing] or eliminat[ing] park supply."

o PMM HAZ-3

Where the construction and operation of projects involves the transport of hazardous materials, avoid transport of such materials within one-quarter mile of schools, when school is in session, wherever feasible. Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 19 of 25

> Where it is not feasible to avoid transport of hazardous materials, within one-quarter mile of schools on local streets, provide notifications of the anticipated schedule of transport of such materials.

The SCEA fails to incorporate feasible mitigation measures simply on the basis that it did not identify a potentially significant impact. Pub. Res. Code § 21155.2 subd. (a) is unambiguous in stating that transit priority projects must incorporate "all feasible mitigation measures, performance standards or criteria set forth in prior environmental impact reports," irrespective of whether the SCEA finds a less than significant impact with mitigation. The SCEA fails to address the feasibility of measures not incorporated into the Project, and thus fails to meet the requirements of Pub. Res. Code § 21155.2.

The RTC claims that SCAG does not require implementation of all feasible mitigation measures, and gives the lead agency discretion over which to incorporate. It further points to sections of the SCEA that mostly discuss the Project's consistency with SCAG's RTP/SCS mitigations, rather than the feasibility of incorporation of these measures, as required by Public Resources Code, section 21155.2(a). The RTC further cites to CEQA Guidelines section 151.26.4(a)(3) and Nollan v. California Coastal Commission¹⁷ for the proposition that the mitigation measures listed above are legally infeasible and not required due to the SCEA's findings of less-than-significant impacts. This argument, again, is undercut by the plain language of Public Resources Code, section 21155.2(a), which states that an SCEA may only be utilized for environmental review of a "transit priority project that has incorporated all feasible mitigation measures, performance standards, or criteria set forth in the prior applicable environmental impact reports." (Id.) Though there is leeway for a lead agency to determine feasibility of adoption of mitigation measures, nowhere does the statute authorizing SCEAs mention that "consistency" may be substituted for incorporation. The mitigation measures from SCAG's RTP/SCS MMRPs must at the very least be considered for feasibility, and the SCEA should be revised for discussion thereof, including whether they may have proper nexus to the Project's impacts and whether they are proportionally appropriate to address them, as required by Nollan. The City Council should reverse the Board's adoption of the SCEA so that the SCEA can be revised to

¹⁷ 512 U.S. 374 (1994).

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 20 of 25

consider the feasibility of adoption of mitigation measures identified in the 2016 and 2020 RTP/SCS MMRPs.

2. The Project Fails to Demonstrate Consistency with SCAG's RTP/SCS Plans

In its analysis of consistency with the 2016-2040 RTP/SCS Goal 2,¹⁸ the SCEA states that "the Project would provide opportunities for residents to use public transit or bicycling for work trips, and walk or bike to retain businesses near the Project Site." (SCEA, 3-9.) Indeed, much of the analysis of the Project's consistency with the RTP/SCS Plans relies on the purportedly public transit-oriented nature of the project and its proximity to rail access.

However, the SCEA's analysis is severely undercut by the amount of parking the Project provides—1,613 parking spaces. Though less than otherwise required by the City's Municipal Code, the amount of parking the Project proposes is much more than the maximum parking ratios the City can impose under AB 2345. This will have a negligible impact on reducing reliance on passenger vehicles. The Project's parking capacity would not further the goal of expanding the use of public transportation and decreasing VMT, and thus is not consistent with the goals of RTP/SCS despite claims in the SCEA otherwise.

The Project's proposed parking development also negatively affects the SCEA's analysis of consistency with the following goals and policies of the RTP/SCS Plans:

2016-2040 RTP/SCS

- Goal 5: Maximize the productivity of our transportation system.
- Goal 6: Protect the environment and health of our residents by improving air quality and encouraging active transportation (e.g., bicycling and walking).
- Goal 7: Actively encourage and create incentives for energy efficiency, where possible.
- Goal 8: Encourage land use and growth patterns that facilitate transit and active transportation

¹⁸ "Maximize mobility and accessibility for all people and goods in the region."

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 21 of 25

2020-2045 RTP/SCS

- o Goal 5: Reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve air quality
- o Goal 7: Adapt to a changing climate and support an integrated regional development pattern and transportation network

The provision of parking well above the level required of a TPP would cut against these goals. The SCEA process should not be used to avoid larger environmental review simply by virtue of proximity to a rail stop. The SCEA for the Project should be revised, or the Project should be subject to more robust environmental review.

E. The SCEA Fails to Support Its Findings with Substantial Evidence

As is the case with EIRs and negative declarations, when new information is brought to light showing that an impact previously discussed in an SCEA but found to be insignificant with or without mitigation in the SCEA's analysis has the potential for a significant environmental impact supported by substantial evidence, the SCEA must consider and resolve the conflict in the evidence. PRC § 21155.2(b)(4)-(7); see also Visalia Retail, L.P. v. City of Visalia (2018) 20 Cal. App. 5th 1, 13, 17; see also Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal. App. 4th 1099, 1109. While a lead agency has discretion to formulate standards for determining significance and the need for mitigation measures—the choice of any standards or thresholds of significance must be "based to the extent possible on scientific and factual data and an exercise of reasoned judgment based on substantial evidence. CEQA Guidelines § 15064(b); Cleveland Nat'l Forest Found. v. San Diego Ass'n of Gov'ts (2017) 3 Cal. App. 5th 497, 515; Mission Bay Alliance v. Office of Community Inv. & Infrastructure (2016) 6 Cal. App. 5th 160, 206. And when there is evidence that an impact could be significant, an environmental review document cannot adopt a contrary finding without providing an adequate explanation along with supporting evidence. East Sacramento Partnership for a Livable City v. City of Sacramento (2016) 5 Cal. App. 5th 281, 302.

In addition, a determination that regulatory compliance will be sufficient to prevent significant adverse impacts must be based on a project-specific analysis of potential impacts and the effect of regulatory compliance. Californians for Alternatives to Toxics v. Department of Food & Agric. (2005) 136 Cal. App. 4th 1; see also Ebbetts Pass Forest Watch v Department of Forestry & Fire Protection (2008) 43 Cal. App. 4th 936, 956 (fact that Department of Pesticide Regulation had assessed environmental effects of certain

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 22 of 25

herbicides in general did not excuse failure to assess effects of their use for specific timber harvesting project).

1. <u>The SCEA Fails to Support its Conclusions on Greenhouse Gas Impacts</u> with Substantial Evidence

The SCEA claims that "[n]either the City nor the [South Coast Air Quality Management District ("SCAQMD")] has adopted a GHG significance threshold for land use development projects." (SCEA, 5-65). The SCEA relies on regulatory compliance to conclude that the Project will have no significant impacts on GHG emissions. However, since 2008, SCAQMD has recommended an interim significance threshold for non-industrial projects of 3,000 MTCO₂e, and has recommended that threshold for mixed-use projects since 2009.

The RTC dismisses SCAQMD's interim significance threshold as it was not formally adopted. The significance threshold number is meant to reflect a 90% capture rate for mixed-use projects under various scenarios, which topped out at 3,596 MTCO₂e/year.¹⁹ While not formally adopted, it does act as a baseline for comparison regarding the significance of impacts. Additionally, as the Project is a mixed residential and commercial use development, it is significant to note that the SCAQMD threshold for both residential and commercial development projects is also 3,000 MTCO₂e.²⁰ The Project's projected total GHG emissions per year is 10,277 MTCO₂e, for a net increase of 7,442 MTCO₂. (SCEA, 5-68). Both figures are well above SCAQMD's recommended significance thresholds.

Bare conclusions or opinions of the agency are not sufficient to satisfy an agency's obligation under CEQA to adequately support their environmental determinations. (Laurel Heights Improvement Assn. v. Regents of University of California (1988) 47 Cal. 3d 376, 403 – 404.) "To facilitate CEQA's informational role, the EIR must contain facts and analysis, not just the agency's bare conclusions or opinions. . . . [to] enable[] the decision-makers and the public to make an 'independent, reasoned judgment' about a

¹⁹ See SCAQMD, Minutes for GHG CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group # 13 (August 26, 2009), available at http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/year-2008-2009/ghg-meeting-13-minutes.pdf.

²⁰ See SCAQMD, Board Letter – Interim CEQA GHG Significance Threshold for Stationary Sources (December 5, 2008) at p. 8, available at http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/ceqa/handbook/greenhouse-gases-(ghg)-ceqa-significance-thresholds/ghgboardsynopsis.pdf?sfvrsn=2.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 23 of 25

proposed project." (Concerned Citizens of Costa Mesa, Inc. v. 32nd Dist. Agricultural Assn. (1986) 42 Cal.3d 929, 935 [(quoting Santiago County Water Dist. v. County of Orange (1981) 118 Cal.App.3d 818, 831.)

As the Court noted in East Sacramento Partnerships for a Livable City v. City of Sacramento (2016) 5 Cal. App. 5th 281, 301, compliance with a regulatory scheme "in and of itself does not insulate a project from the EIR requirement, where it may be fairly argued that the project will generate significant environmental effects." (Internal quotations omitted.) A project's effects can be significant even if they are not greater than those deemed acceptable in a general plan or other regulatory law. (Gentry v. City of Murrieta (1995) 36 Cal.App.4th 1359, 1416; see also Keep Our Mountains Quiet v. County of Santa Clara (2015) 236 Cal.App.4th 714, 732 [finding that a full environmental impact report is required "if substantial evidence supports a fair argument that the Project may have significant unmitigated noise impacts, even if other evidence shows the Project will not generate noise in excess of the County's noise ordinance and general plan."].)

A public agency cannot apply a threshold of significance or regulatory standard "in a way that forecloses the consideration of any other substantial evidence showing there may be a significant effect." (Mejia v. City of Los Angeles (2005) 130 Cal.App.4th 322, 342.) Where comments from a responsible sister agency, such as SCAQMD, disclose new or conflicting data or opinions that cause concern that the agency may not have fully evaluated the project and its alternatives, these comments may not simply be ignored based on a conclusory statement about compliance with regulatory standards; there must be a good faith, reasoned analysis. (Berkeley Keep Jets Over the Bay Com. v. Board of Port Cmrs. (2001) 91 Cal. App. 4th 1344, 1367.) The SCEA's approach fails to meet the City's obligation to engage in good faith reasoned analysis to provide the public, public agencies and decisionmakers with detailed information about the effects that the Project will have on the environment, ways to mitigate those effects, as well as alternatives. (PRC § 21061)

An agency must "explain how the particular requirements of that environmental standard reduce project impacts, including cumulative impacts, to a level that is less that significant, and why the environmental standard is relevant to the analysis of a project that is less than significant." CEQA Guidelines § 15067.7.

The City Council should recognize the significant impacts this Project will have on GHG Emissions, and should require additional analysis and mitigation measures.

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 24 of 25

2. The DEIR Fails to Support Its Findings on Population and Housing and Air Quality Impacts with Substantial Evidence

The SCEA's own analysis states that "[a]t the time the Project is complete in 2026, the projected forecasted growth would be approximately 2,066 individuals, less than the Project's estimated addition of 2,121 individuals." (SCEA, 2-118.) Despite the projected increase in population projected to be caused by the Project outpacing the SCEA's prorated growth projections, and accounting for nearly a quarter of SCAG's forecasted projected growth between 2021 and 2045, the SCEA nevertheless concludes that the Project will not induce unplanned substantial population growth. (SCEA, 5-118 through 5-120).

The SCEA further fails to consider cumulative impacts this project may have on population growth. An SCEA "shall identify any cumulative effects that have been adequately addressed and mitigated pursuant to the requirements of this division in prior applicable certified environmental impact reports. Where the lead agency determines that a cumulative effect has been adequately addressed and mitigated, that cumulative effect shall not be treated as cumulatively considerable for the purposes of this subdivision." (Pub. Res. Code § 21155.2 subd. (b)(1).) The SCEA does not address cumulative impacts the project may have on population growth.

The Project will ultimately result in a net increase in housing, and may have cumulatively considerable impacts with other housing projects in the area. The determination of whether there are cumulative impacts in any issue area should be determined based on an assessment of the project's incremental effects "viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects." (CEQA Guidelines §15065(a)(3); Banning Ranch Conservancy v City of Newport Beach (2012) 211 Cal. App. 4th 1209, 1228; see also CEQA Guidelines §15355(b).)

As confirmed in the RTC, the SCEA lacks any discussion of cumulative impacts on population growth under the auspices of Pub. Res. Code, § 21159.28's exemption from otherwise-required discussions of growth-inducing impacts. As discussed above, however, the Project may not qualify for utilization of the SCEA process due to failure to incorporate all feasible mitigations and questionable consistency with the RTP/SCS Plans. Even assuming the Project does properly qualify for the use of an SCEA, while discussion of growth-inducing impacts is not required, the SCEA cannot simply ignore

Attachment to Appeal of Adoption of SCEA for 2311 N. Hollywood Way October 11, 2021 Page 25 of 25

the fact that only five years into the 2021 - 2045 population projection period, this single Project will account for 25% of the City's population growth.

The RTC further states that there will be no cumulative impact on population growth because the cumulative projects identified in the SCEA are not housing projects, and it is speculative to assume that future projects in the area would include housing. This unreasonably limits the scope of the impact analysis. Where the discussion of impacts regards the City's population as a whole, it is improper to artificially limit the scope of the discussion simply to projects in the immediate vicinity of the Project.

The SCEA's lack of analysis of the Project's cumulative impacts on population also cast doubt on the SCEA's findings of less-than-significant impact on air quality. The SCEA's discussion of whether the Project conflicts with or obstructs implementation of the applicable air quality plan in part relies on whether the Project will exceed the economic and demographic assumptions utilized in preparing the 2016 Air Quality Management Plan. (SCEA, 5-21 through 5-26.) This includes a discussion of the City's projected population growth. (SCEA, 5-26.)

The Project has the potential to draw 2,121 new residents to the City; the City should consider the cumulative effect of that projected population growth with that of other pending projects. This is a potentially significant impact that the City Council should require the SCEA to analyze.

II. CONCLUSION

Appellants request that the City Council reverse the Board's decision to approve the Project's SCEA, and to require either that the SCEA be revised and recirculated, or that the City prepare an environmental impact report which addresses the aforementioned concerns.

Attached:

March 8, 2021 SWAPE Letter to Mitchell M. Tsai re Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling (Exhibit A);

Air Quality and GHG Expert Paul Rosenfeld CV (Exhibit B);

Air Quality and GHG Expert Matt Hagemann CV (Exhibit C);

EXHIBIT A



2656 29th Street, Suite 201 Santa Monica, CA 90405

Matt Hagemann, P.G, C.Hg. (949) 887-9013 mhagemann@swape.com

> Paul E. Rosenfeld, PhD (310) 795-2335 prosenfeld@swape.com

March 8, 2021

Mitchell M. Tsai 155 South El Molino, Suite 104 Pasadena, CA 91101

Subject:

Local Hire Requirements and Considerations for Greenhouse Gas Modeling

Dear Mr. Tsai,

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise ("SWAPE") is pleased to provide the following draft technical report explaining the significance of worker trips required for construction of land use development projects with respect to the estimation of greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions. The report will also discuss the potential for local hire requirements to reduce the length of worker trips, and consequently, reduced or mitigate the potential GHG impacts.

Worker Trips and Greenhouse Gas Calculations

The California Emissions Estimator Model ("CalEEMod") is a "statewide land use emissions computer model designed to provide a uniform platform for government agencies, land use planners, and environmental professionals to quantify potential criteria pollutant and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions associated with both construction and operations from a variety of land use projects." CalEEMod quantifies construction-related emissions associated with land use projects resulting from off-road construction equipment; on-road mobile equipment associated with workers, vendors, and hauling; fugitive dust associated with grading, demolition, truck loading, and on-road vehicles traveling along paved and unpaved roads; and architectural coating activities; and paving.²

The number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.³

¹ "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.

² "California Emissions Estimator Model." CAPCOA, 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/caleemod/home.

³ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/01 user-39-s-guide2016-3-2_15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

Specifically, the number and length of vehicle trips is utilized to estimate the vehicle miles travelled ("VMT") associated with construction. Then, utilizing vehicle-class specific EMFAC 2014 emission factors, CalEEMod calculates the vehicle exhaust, evaporative, and dust emissions resulting from construction-related VMT, including personal vehicles for worker commuting.⁴

Specifically, in order to calculate VMT, CalEEMod multiplies the average daily trip rate by the average overall trip length (see excerpt below):

"VMT_d = Σ(Average Daily Trip Rate i * Average Overall Trip Length i) n

Where:

n = Number of land uses being modeled."5

Furthermore, to calculate the on-road emissions associated with worker trips, CalEEMod utilizes the following equation (see excerpt below):

"Emissionspollutant = VMT * EFrunning, pollutant

Where:

Emissions_{pollutant} = emissions from vehicle running for each pollutant

VMT = vehicle miles traveled

EF_{running,pollutant} = emission factor for running emissions."⁶

Thus, there is a direct relationship between trip length and VMT, as well as a direct relationship between VMT and vehicle running emissions. In other words, when the trip length is increased, the VMT and vehicle running emissions increase as a result. Thus, vehicle running emissions can be reduced by decreasing the average overall trip length, by way of a local hire requirement or otherwise.

Default Worker Trip Parameters and Potential Local Hire Requirements

As previously discussed, the number, length, and vehicle class of worker trips are utilized by CalEEMod to calculate emissions associated with the on-road vehicle trips required to transport workers to and from the Project site during construction.⁷ In order to understand how local hire requirements and associated worker trip length reductions impact GHG emissions calculations, it is important to consider the CalEEMod default worker trip parameters. CalEEMod provides recommended default values based on site-specific information, such as land use type, meteorological data, total lot acreage, project type and typical equipment associated with project type. If more specific project information is known, the user can change the default values and input project-specific values, but the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") requires that such changes be justified by substantial evidence.⁸ The default number of construction-related worker trips is calculated by multiplying the

⁴ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 14-15.

⁵ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02 appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 23.

⁶ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02 appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 15.

⁷ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/01_user-39-s-guide2016-3-2_15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

⁸ CalEEMod User Guide, available at: http://www.caleemod.com/, p. 1, 9.

number of pieces of equipment for all phases by 1.25, with the exception of worker trips required for the building construction and architectural coating phases.⁹ Furthermore, the worker trip vehicle class is a 50/25/25 percent mix of light duty autos, light duty truck class 1 and light duty truck class 2, respectively."¹⁰ Finally, the default worker trip length is consistent with the length of the operational home-to-work vehicle trips.¹¹ The operational home-to-work vehicle trip lengths are:

"[B]ased on the <u>location</u> and <u>urbanization</u> selected on the project characteristic screen. These values were <u>supplied by the air districts or use a default average for the state</u>. Each district (or county) also assigns trip lengths for urban and rural settings" (emphasis added). 12

Thus, the default worker trip length is based on the location and urbanization level selected by the User when modeling emissions. The below table shows the CalEEMod default rural and urban worker trip lengths by air basin (see excerpt below and Attachment A).¹³

Worker Trip Length by Air Basin			
Air Basin	Rural (miles)	Urban (miles)	
Great Basin Valleys	16.8	10.8	
Lake County	16.8	10.8	
Lake Tahoe	16.8	10.8	
Mojave Desert	16.8	10.8	
Mountain Counties	16.8	10.8	
North Central Coast	17.1	12.3	
North Coast	16.8	10.8	
Northeast Plateau	16.8	10.8	
Sacramento Valley	16.8	10.8	
Salton Sea	14.6	11	
San Diego	16.8	10.8	
San Francisco Bay Area	10.8	10.8	
San Joaquin Valley	16.8	10.8	
South Central Coast	16.8	10.8	
South Coast	19.8	14.7	
Average	16.47	11.17	
Minimum	10.80	10.80	
Maximum	19.80	14.70	
Range	9.00	3.90	

⁹ "CalEEMod User's Guide." CAPCOA, November 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/01_user-39-s-guide2016-3-2_15november2017.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. 34.

¹⁰ "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.agmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6_n_1

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 15.
11 "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at:

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 14.

¹² "Appendix A Calculation Details for CalEEMod." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/02_appendix-a2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=6, p. 21.

¹³ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/05 appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. D-84 – D-86.

As demonstrated above, default rural worker trip lengths for air basins in California vary from 10.8- to 19.8-miles, with an average of 16.47 miles. Furthermore, default urban worker trip lengths vary from 10.8- to 14.7-miles, with an average of 11.17 miles. Thus, while default worker trip lengths vary by location, default urban worker trip lengths tend to be shorter in length. Based on these trends evident in the CalEEMod default worker trip lengths, we can reasonably assume that the efficacy of a local hire requirement is especially dependent upon the urbanization of the project site, as well as the project location.

Practical Application of a Local Hire Requirement and Associated Impact

To provide an example of the potential impact of a local hire provision on construction-related GHG emissions, we estimated the significance of a local hire provision for the Village South Specific Plan ("Project") located in the City of Claremont ("City"). The Project proposed to construct 1,000 residential units, 100,000-SF of retail space, 45,000-SF of office space, as well as a 50-room hotel, on the 24-acre site. The Project location is classified as Urban and lies within the Los Angeles-South Coast County. As a result, the Project has a default worker trip length of 14.7 miles. ¹⁴ In an effort to evaluate the potential for a local hire provision to reduce the Project's construction-related GHG emissions, we prepared an updated model, reducing all worker trip lengths to 10 miles (see Attachment B). Our analysis estimates that if a local hire provision with a 10-mile radius were to be implemented, the GHG emissions associated with Project construction would decrease by approximately 17% (see table below and Attachment C).

Local Hire Provision Net Change	
Without Local Hire Provision	
Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO₂e)	3,623
Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO₂e/year)	120.77
With Local Hire Provision	
Total Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO2e)	3,024
Amortized Construction GHG Emissions (MT CO₂e/year)	100.80
% Decrease in Construction-related GHG Emissions	17%

As demonstrated above, by implementing a local hire provision requiring 10 mile worker trip lengths, the Project could reduce potential GHG emissions associated with construction worker trips. More broadly, any local hire requirement that results in a decreased worker trip length from the default value has the potential to result in a reduction of construction-related GHG emissions, though the significance of the reduction would vary based on the location and urbanization level of the project site.

This serves as an example of the potential impacts of local hire requirements on estimated project-level GHG emissions, though it does not indicate that local hire requirements would result in reduced construction-related GHG emission for all projects. As previously described, the significance of a local hire requirement depends on the worker trip length enforced and the default worker trip length for the project's urbanization level and location.

¹⁴ "Appendix D Default Data Tables." CAPCOA, October 2017, available at: http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/default-source/caleemod/05 appendix-d2016-3-2.pdf?sfvrsn=4, p. D-85.

Disclaimer

SWAPE has received limited discovery. Additional information may become available in the future; thus, we retain the right to revise or amend this report when additional information becomes available. Our professional services have been performed using that degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised, under similar circumstances, by reputable environmental consultants practicing in this or similar localities at the time of service. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the scope of work, work methodologies and protocols, site conditions, analytical testing results, and findings presented. This report reflects efforts which were limited to information that was reasonably accessible at the time of the work, and may contain informational gaps, inconsistencies, or otherwise be incomplete due to the unavailability or uncertainty of information obtained or provided by third parties.

Sincerely,

M Graziu

Matt Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg.

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

EXHIBIT B



SOIL WATER AIR PROTECTION ENTERPRISE

2656 29th Street, Suite 201 Santa Monica, California 90405 Attn: Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. Mobil: (310) 795-2335 Office: (310) 452-5555

Fax: (310) 452-5550 Email: <u>prosenfeld@swape.com</u>

Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Chemical Fate and Transport & Air Dispersion Modeling

Principal Environmental Chemist

Risk Assessment & Remediation Specialist

Education

Ph.D. Soil Chemistry, University of Washington, 1999. Dissertation on volatile organic compound filtration.

M.S. Environmental Science, U.C. Berkeley, 1995. Thesis on organic waste economics.

B.A. Environmental Studies, U.C. Santa Barbara, 1991. Thesis on wastewater treatment.

Professional Experience

Dr. Rosenfeld has over 25 years' experience conducting environmental investigations and risk assessments for evaluating impacts to human health, property, and ecological receptors. His expertise focuses on the fate and transport of environmental contaminants, human health risk, exposure assessment, and ecological restoration. Dr. Rosenfeld has evaluated and modeled emissions from unconventional oil drilling operations, oil spills, landfills, boilers and incinerators, process stacks, storage tanks, confined animal feeding operations, and many other industrial and agricultural sources. His project experience ranges from monitoring and modeling of pollution sources to evaluating impacts of pollution on workers at industrial facilities and residents in surrounding communities.

Dr. Rosenfeld has investigated and designed remediation programs and risk assessments for contaminated sites containing lead, heavy metals, mold, bacteria, particulate matter, petroleum hydrocarbons, chlorinated solvents, pesticides, radioactive waste, dioxins and furans, semi- and volatile organic compounds, PCBs, PAHs, perchlorate, asbestos, per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFOA/PFOS), unusual polymers, fuel oxygenates (MTBE), among other pollutants. Dr. Rosenfeld also has experience evaluating greenhouse gas emissions from various projects and is an expert on the assessment of odors from industrial and agricultural sites, as well as the evaluation of odor nuisance impacts and technologies for abatement of odorous emissions. As a principal scientist at SWAPE, Dr. Rosenfeld directs air dispersion modeling and exposure assessments. He has served as an expert witness and testified about pollution sources causing nuisance and/or personal injury at dozens of sites and has testified as an expert witness on more than ten cases involving exposure to air contaminants from industrial sources.

Professional History:

Soil Water Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE); 2003 to present; Principal and Founding Partner

UCLA School of Public Health; 2007 to 2011; Lecturer (Assistant Researcher)

UCLA School of Public Health; 2003 to 2006; Adjunct Professor

UCLA Environmental Science and Engineering Program; 2002-2004; Doctoral Intern Coordinator

UCLA Institute of the Environment, 2001-2002; Research Associate

Komex H₂O Science, 2001 to 2003; Senior Remediation Scientist

National Groundwater Association, 2002-2004; Lecturer

San Diego State University, 1999-2001; Adjunct Professor

Anteon Corp., San Diego, 2000-2001; Remediation Project Manager

Ogden (now Amec), San Diego, 2000-2000; Remediation Project Manager

Bechtel, San Diego, California, 1999 – 2000; Risk Assessor

King County, Seattle, 1996 – 1999; Scientist

James River Corp., Washington, 1995-96; Scientist

Big Creek Lumber, Davenport, California, 1995; Scientist

Plumas Corp., California and USFS, Tahoe 1993-1995; Scientist

Peace Corps and World Wildlife Fund, St. Kitts, West Indies, 1991-1993; Scientist

Publications:

Remy, L.L., Clay T., Byers, V., Rosenfeld P. E. (2019) Hospital, Health, and Community Burden After Oil Refinery Fires, Richmond, California 2007 and 2012. *Environmental Health*. 18:48

Simons, R.A., Seo, Y. Rosenfeld, P., (2015) Modeling the Effect of Refinery Emission On Residential Property Value. Journal of Real Estate Research. 27(3):321-342

Chen, J. A, Zapata A. R., Sutherland A. J., Molmen, D.R., Chow, B. S., Wu, L. E., Rosenfeld, P. E., Hesse, R. C., (2012) Sulfur Dioxide and Volatile Organic Compound Exposure To A Community In Texas City Texas Evaluated Using Aermod and Empirical Data. *American Journal of Environmental Science*, 8(6), 622-632.

Rosenfeld, P.E. & Feng, L. (2011). The Risks of Hazardous Waste. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2011). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Agrochemical Industry, Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Gonzalez, J., Feng, L., Sutherland, A., Waller, C., Sok, H., Hesse, R., Rosenfeld, P. (2010). PCBs and Dioxins/Furans in Attic Dust Collected Near Former PCB Production and Secondary Copper Facilities in Sauget, IL. *Procedia Environmental Sciences*. 113–125.

Feng, L., Wu, C., Tam, L., Sutherland, A.J., Clark, J.J., Rosenfeld, P.E. (2010). Dioxin and Furan Blood Lipid and Attic Dust Concentrations in Populations Living Near Four Wood Treatment Facilities in the United States. *Journal of Environmental Health*. 73(6), 34-46.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2010). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Wood and Paper Industries. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Cheremisinoff, N.P., & Rosenfeld, P.E. (2009). Handbook of Pollution Prevention and Cleaner Production: Best Practices in the Petroleum Industry. Amsterdam: Elsevier Publishing.

Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., Rosenfeld, P. (2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment, Air Pollution, 123 (17), 319-327.

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Page 2 of 10

June 2019

- Tam L. K.., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and Rosenfeld, P.E. (2008). A Statistical Analysis Of Attic Dust And Blood Lipid Concentrations Of Tetrachloro-p-Dibenzodioxin (TCDD) Toxicity Equivalency Quotients (TEQ) In Two Populations Near Wood Treatment Facilities. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 002252-002255.
- Tam L. K.., Wu C. D., Clark J. J. and Rosenfeld, P.E. (2008). Methods For Collect Samples For Assessing Dioxins And Other Environmental Contaminants In Attic Dust: A Review. *Organohalogen Compounds*, 70, 000527-000530.
- Hensley, A.R. A. Scott, J. J. J. Clark, Rosenfeld, P.E. (2007). Attic Dust and Human Blood Samples Collected near a Former Wood Treatment Facility. *Environmental Research*. 105, 194-197.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., J. J. J. Clark, A. R. Hensley, M. Suffet. (2007). The Use of an Odor Wheel Classification for Evaluation of Human Health Risk Criteria for Compost Facilities. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 345-357.
- Rosenfeld, P. E., M. Suffet. (2007). The Anatomy Of Odour Wheels For Odours Of Drinking Water, Wastewater, Compost And The Urban Environment. *Water Science & Technology* 55(5), 335-344.
- Sullivan, P. J. Clark, J.J.J., Agardy, F. J., Rosenfeld, P.E. (2007). Toxic Legacy, Synthetic Toxins in the Food, Water, and Air in American Cities. Boston Massachusetts: Elsevier Publishing
- Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash. Water Science and Technology. 49(9),171-178.
- Rosenfeld P. E., J.J. Clark, I.H. (Mel) Suffet (2004). The Value of An Odor-Quality-Wheel Classification Scheme For The Urban Environment. *Water Environment Federation's Technical Exhibition and Conference (WEFTEC)* 2004. New Orleans, October 2-6, 2004.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet, I.H. (2004). Understanding Odorants Associated With Compost, Biomass Facilities, and the Land Application of Biosolids. *Water Science and Technology*. 49(9), 193-199.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., and Suffet I.H. (2004). Control of Compost Odor Using High Carbon Wood Ash, *Water Science and Technology*, 49(9), 171-178.
- Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M. A., Sellew, P. (2004). Measurement of Biosolids Odor and Odorant Emissions from Windrows, Static Pile and Biofilter. *Water Environment Research*, 76(4), 310-315.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., Grey, M and Suffet, M. (2002). Compost Demonstration Project, Sacramento California Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Integrated Waste Management Board Public Affairs Office*, Publications Clearinghouse (MS–6), Sacramento, CA Publication #442-02-008.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., and C.L. Henry. (2001). Characterization of odor emissions from three different biosolids. *Water Soil and Air Pollution*, 127(1-4), 173-191.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., and Henry C. L., (2000). Wood ash control of odor emissions from biosolids application. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 29, 1662-1668.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry and D. Bennett. (2001). Wastewater dewatering polymer affect on biosolids odor emissions and microbial activity. *Water Environment Research*. 73(4), 363-367.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., and C.L. Henry. (2001). Activated Carbon and Wood Ash Sorption of Wastewater, Compost, and Biosolids Odorants. *Water Environment Research*, 73, 388-393.
- **Rosenfeld, P.E.,** and Henry C. L., (2001). High carbon wood ash effect on biosolids microbial activity and odor. *Water Environment Research.* 131(1-4), 247-262.

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

- Chollack, T. and P. Rosenfeld. (1998). Compost Amendment Handbook For Landscaping. Prepared for and distributed by the City of Redmond, Washington State.
- Rosenfeld, P. E. (1992). The Mount Liamuiga Crater Trail. Heritage Magazine of St. Kitts, 3(2).
- Rosenfeld, P. E. (1993). High School Biogas Project to Prevent Deforestation On St. Kitts. *Biomass Users Network*, 7(1).
- Rosenfeld, P. E. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions From Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. Doctoral Thesis. University of Washington College of Forest Resources.
- Rosenfeld, P. E. (1994). Potential Utilization of Small Diameter Trees on Sierra County Public Land. Masters thesis reprinted by the Sierra County Economic Council. Sierra County, California.
- Rosenfeld, P. E. (1991). How to Build a Small Rural Anaerobic Digester & Uses Of Biogas In The First And Third World. Bachelors Thesis. University of California.

Presentations:

- Rosenfeld, P.E., Sutherland, A; Hesse, R.; Zapata, A. (October 3-6, 2013). Air dispersion modeling of volatile organic emissions from multiple natural gas wells in Decatur, TX. 44th Western Regional Meeting, American Chemical Society. Lecture conducted from Santa Clara, CA.
- Sok, H.L.; Waller, C.C.; Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sutherland, A.J.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; Hesse, R.C.; Rosenfeld, P.E. (June 20-23, 2010). Atrazine: A Persistent Pesticide in Urban Drinking Water. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.
- Feng, L.; Gonzalez, J.; Sok, H.L.; Sutherland, A.J.; Waller, C.C.; Wisdom-Stack, T.; Sahai, R.K.; La, M.; Hesse, R.C.; Rosenfeld, P.E. (June 20-23, 2010). Bringing Environmental Justice to East St. Louis, Illinois. *Urban Environmental Pollution*. Lecture conducted from Boston, MA.
- Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Perfluoroctanoic Acid (PFOA) and Perfluoroctane Sulfonate (PFOS) Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. 2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting, Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.
- Rosenfeld, P.E. (April 19-23, 2009). Cost to Filter Atrazine Contamination from Drinking Water in the United States" Contamination in Drinking Water From the Use of Aqueous Film Forming Foams (AFFF) at Airports in the United States. 2009 Ground Water Summit and 2009 Ground Water Protection Council Spring Meeting. Lecture conducted from Tuscon, AZ.
- Wu, C., Tam, L., Clark, J., Rosenfeld, P. (20-22 July, 2009). Dioxin and furan blood lipid concentrations in populations living near four wood treatment facilities in the United States. Brebbia, C.A. and Popov, V., eds., Air Pollution XVII: Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Conference on Modeling, Monitoring and Management of Air Pollution. Lecture conducted from Tallinn, Estonia.
- Rosenfeld, P. E. (October 15-18, 2007). Moss Point Community Exposure To Contaminants From A Releasing Facility. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.
- **Rosenfeld, P. E.** (October 15-18, 2007). The Repeated Trespass of Tritium-Contaminated Water Into A Surrounding Community Form Repeated Waste Spills From A Nuclear Power Plant. *The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water*. Platform lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

- **Rosenfeld, P. E.** (October 15-18, 2007). Somerville Community Exposure To Contaminants From Wood Treatment Facility Emissions. The 23rd Annual International Conferences on Soils Sediment and Water. Lecture conducted from University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA.
- Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Production, Chemical Properties, Toxicology, & Treatment Case Studies of 1,2,3-Trichloropropane (TCP). *The Association for Environmental Health and Sciences (AEHS) Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.
- Rosenfeld P. E. (March 2007). Blood and Attic Sampling for Dioxin/Furan, PAH, and Metal Exposure in Florala, Alabama. *The AEHS Annual Meeting*. Lecture conducted from San Diego, CA.
- Hensley A.R., Scott, A., Rosenfeld P.E., Clark, J.J.J. (August 21 25, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. *The 26th International Symposium on Halogenated Persistent Organic Pollutants DIOXIN2006*. Lecture conducted from Radisson SAS Scandinavia Hotel in Oslo Norway.
- Hensley A.R., Scott, A., Rosenfeld P.E., Clark, J.J.J. (November 4-8, 2006). Dioxin Containing Attic Dust And Human Blood Samples Collected Near A Former Wood Treatment Facility. APHA 134 Annual Meeting & Exposition. Lecture conducted from Boston Massachusetts.
- **Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D.** (October 24-25, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. Mealey's C8/PFOA. *Science, Risk & Litigation Conference*. Lecture conducted from The Rittenhouse Hotel, Philadelphia, PA.
- **Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D.** (September 19, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, *Toxicology and Remediation PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel, Irvine California.
- **Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D.** (September 19, 2005). Fate, Transport, Toxicity, And Persistence of 1,2,3-TCP. *PEMA Emerging Contaminant Conference*. Lecture conducted from Hilton Hotel in Irvine, California.
- **Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D.** (September 26-27, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PDBEs. *Mealey's Groundwater Conference*. Lecture conducted from Ritz Carlton Hotel, Marina Del Ray, California.
- Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D. (June 7-8, 2005). Fate, Transport and Persistence of PFOA and Related Chemicals. International Society of Environmental Forensics: Focus On Emerging Contaminants. Lecture conducted from Sheraton Oceanfront Hotel, Virginia Beach, Virginia.
- **Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D.** (July 21-22, 2005). Fate Transport, Persistence and Toxicology of PFOA and Related Perfluorochemicals. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water And Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.
- **Paul Rosenfeld Ph.D.** (July 21-22, 2005). Brominated Flame Retardants in Groundwater: Pathways to Human Ingestion, Toxicology and Remediation. 2005 National Groundwater Association Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference. Lecture conducted from Wyndham Baltimore Inner Harbor, Baltimore Maryland.
- **Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** and James Clark Ph.D. and Rob Hesse R.G. (May 5-6, 2004). Tert-butyl Alcohol Liability and Toxicology, A National Problem and Unquantified Liability. *National Groundwater Association. Environmental Law Conference*. Lecture conducted from Congress Plaza Hotel, Chicago Illinois.
- Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (March 2004). Perchlorate Toxicology. *Meeting of the American Groundwater Trust*. Lecture conducted from Phoenix Arizona.
- Hagemann, M.F., **Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** and Rob Hesse (2004). Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. *Meeting of tribal representatives*. Lecture conducted from Parker, AZ.

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Page 5 of 10

June 2019

- Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (April 7, 2004). A National Damage Assessment Model For PCE and Dry Cleaners. Drycleaner Symposium. California Ground Water Association. Lecture conducted from Radison Hotel, Sacramento, California.
- Rosenfeld, P. E., Grey, M., (June 2003) Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. Seventh International In Situ And On Site Bioremediation Symposium Battelle Conference Orlando, FL.
- Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. and James Clark Ph.D. (February 20-21, 2003) Understanding Historical Use, Chemical Properties, Toxicity and Regulatory Guidance of 1,4 Dioxane. *National Groundwater Association. Southwest Focus Conference. Water Supply and Emerging Contaminants.*. Lecture conducted from Hyatt Regency Phoenix Arizona.
- Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D. (February 6-7, 2003). Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *California CUPA Forum*. Lecture conducted from Marriott Hotel, Anaheim California.
- **Paul Rosenfeld, Ph.D.** (October 23, 2002) Underground Storage Tank Litigation and Remediation. *EPA Underground Storage Tank Roundtable*. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.
- Rosenfeld, P.E. and Suffet, M. (October 7- 10, 2002). Understanding Odor from Compost, Wastewater and Industrial Processes. Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.
- Rosenfeld, P.E. and Suffet, M. (October 7-10, 2002). Using High Carbon Wood Ash to Control Compost Odor. Sixth Annual Symposium On Off Flavors in the Aquatic Environment. International Water Association. Lecture conducted from Barcelona Spain.
- Rosenfeld, P.E. and Grey, M. A. (September 22-24, 2002). Biocycle Composting For Coastal Sage Restoration. *Northwest Biosolids Management Association*. Lecture conducted from Vancouver Washington..
- **Rosenfeld, P.E.** and Grey, M. A. (November 11-14, 2002). Using High-Carbon Wood Ash to Control Odor at a Green Materials Composting Facility. *Soil Science Society Annual Conference*. Lecture conducted from Indianapolis, Maryland.
- Rosenfeld. P.E. (September 16, 2000). Two stage biofilter for biosolids composting odor control. *Water Environment Federation*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.
- Rosenfeld. P.E. (October 16, 2000). Wood ash and biofilter control of compost odor. *Biofest.* Lecture conducted from Ocean Shores, California.
- Rosenfeld, P.E. (2000). Bioremediation Using Organic Soil Amendments. California Resource Recovery Association. Lecture conducted from Sacramento California.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. *Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings*. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., and C.L. Henry. (1999). An evaluation of ash incorporation with biosolids for odor reduction. Soil Science Society of America. Lecture conducted from Salt Lake City Utah.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Comparison of Microbial Activity and Odor Emissions from Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Brown and Caldwell*. Lecture conducted from Seattle Washington.
- Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry. (1998). Characterization, Quantification, and Control of Odor Emissions from Biosolids Application To Forest Soil. *Biofest*. Lecture conducted from Lake Chelan, Washington.

Paul E.	Rosenfeld.	Ph.D.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. Harrison. (1998). Oat and Grass Seed Germination and Nitrogen and Sulfur Emissions Following Biosolids Incorporation With High-Carbon Wood-Ash. Water Environment Federation 12th Annual Residuals and Biosolids Management Conference Proceedings. Lecture conducted from Bellevue Washington.

Rosenfeld, P.E., C.L. Henry, R. B. Harrison, and R. Dills. (1997). Comparison of Odor Emissions From Three Different Biosolids Applied to Forest Soil. *Soil Science Society of America*. Lecture conducted from Anaheim California.

Teaching Experience:

UCLA Department of Environmental Health (Summer 2003 through 20010) Taught Environmental Health Science 100 to students, including undergrad, medical doctors, public health professionals and nurses. Course focused on the health effects of environmental contaminants.

National Ground Water Association, Successful Remediation Technologies. Custom Course in Sante Fe, New Mexico. May 21, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of fuel contaminants associated with underground storage tanks.

National Ground Water Association; Successful Remediation Technologies Course in Chicago Illinois. April 1, 2002. Focused on fate and transport of contaminants associated with Superfund and RCRA sites.

California Integrated Waste Management Board, April and May, 2001. Alternative Landfill Caps Seminar in San Diego, Ventura, and San Francisco. Focused on both prescriptive and innovative landfill cover design.

UCLA Department of Environmental Engineering, February 5, 2002. Seminar on Successful Remediation Technologies focusing on Groundwater Remediation.

University Of Washington, Soil Science Program, Teaching Assistant for several courses including: Soil Chemistry, Organic Soil Amendments, and Soil Stability.

U.C. Berkeley, Environmental Science Program Teaching Assistant for Environmental Science 10.

Academic Grants Awarded:

California Integrated Waste Management Board. \$41,000 grant awarded to UCLA Institute of the Environment. Goal: To investigate effect of high carbon wood ash on volatile organic emissions from compost. 2001.

Synagro Technologies, Corona California: \$10,000 grant awarded to San Diego State University.

Goal: investigate effect of biosolids for restoration and remediation of degraded coastal sage soils. 2000.

King County, Department of Research and Technology, Washington State. \$100,000 grant awarded to University of Washington: Goal: To investigate odor emissions from biosolids application and the effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions. 1998.

Northwest Biosolids Management Association, Washington State. \$20,000 grant awarded to investigate effect of polymers and ash on VOC emissions from biosolids. 1997.

James River Corporation, Oregon: \$10,000 grant was awarded to investigate the success of genetically engineered Poplar trees with resistance to round-up. 1996.

United State Forest Service, Tahoe National Forest: \$15,000 grant was awarded to investigating fire ecology of the Tahoe National Forest. 1995.

Kellogg Foundation, Washington D.C. \$500 grant was awarded to construct a large anaerobic digester on St. Kitts in West Indies. 1993

Paul E. Rosenfeld, Ph.D.

Page 7 of 10

June 2019

Deposition and/or Trial Testimony:

In the United States District Court For The District of New Jersey

Duarte et al, Plaintiffs, vs. United States Metals Refining Company et. al. Defendant.

Case No.: 2:17-cv-01624-ES-SCM Rosenfeld Deposition. 6-7-2019

In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division

M/T Carla Maersk, Plaintiffs, vs. Conti 168., Schiffahrts-GMBH & Co. Bulker KG MS "Conti Perdido"

Defendant.

Case No.: 3:15-CV-00106 consolidated with 3:15-CV-00237

Rosenfeld Deposition. 5-9-2019

In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles - Santa Monica

Carole-Taddeo-Bates et al., vs. Ifran Khan et al., Defendants

Case No.: No. BC615636

Rosenfeld Deposition, 1-26-2019

In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Los Angeles - Santa Monica

The San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments et al. vs El Adobe Apts. Inc. et al., Defendants

Case No.: No. BC646857

Rosenfeld Deposition, 10-6-2018; Trial 3-7-19

In United States District Court For The District of Colorado

Bells et al. Plaintiff vs. The 3M Company et al., Defendants

Case: No 1:16-cv-02531-RBJ

Rosenfeld Deposition, 3-15-2018 and 4-3-2018

In The District Court Of Regan County, Texas, 112th Judicial District

Phillip Bales et al., Plaintiff vs. Dow Agrosciences, LLC, et al., Defendants

Cause No 1923

Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-17-2017

In The Superior Court of the State of California In And For The County Of Contra Costa

Simons et al., Plaintiffs vs. Chevron Corporation, et al., Defendants

Cause No C12-01481

Rosenfeld Deposition, 11-20-2017

In The Circuit Court Of The Twentieth Judicial Circuit, St Clair County, Illinois

Martha Custer et al., Plaintiff vs. Cerro Flow Products, Inc., Defendants

Case No.: No. 0i9-L-2295

Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-23-2017

In The Superior Court of the State of California, For The County of Los Angeles

Warrn Gilbert and Penny Gilber, Plaintiff vs. BMW of North America LLC

Case No.: LC102019 (c/w BC582154)

Rosenfeld Deposition, 8-16-2017, Trail 8-28-2018

In the Northern District Court of Mississippi, Greenville Division

Brenda J. Cooper, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. Meritor Inc., et al., Defendants

Case Number: 4:16-cv-52-DMB-JVM

Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2017

In The Superior Court of the State of Washington, County of Snohomish

Michael Davis and Julie Davis et al., Plaintiff vs. Cedar Grove Composting Inc., Defendants

Case No.: No. 13-2-03987-5

Rosenfeld Deposition, February 2017

Trial, March 2017

In The Superior Court of the State of California, County of Alameda

Charles Spain., Plaintiff vs. Thermo Fisher Scientific, et al., Defendants

Case No.: RG14711115

Rosenfeld Deposition, September 2015

In The Iowa District Court In And For Poweshiek County

Russell D. Winburn, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Doug Hoksbergen, et al., Defendants

Case No.: LALA002187

Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015

In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County

Jerry Dovico, et al., Plaintiffs vs. Valley View Sine LLC, et al., Defendants

Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A

Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015

In The Iowa District Court For Wapello County

Doug Pauls, et al., et al., Plaintiffs vs. Richard Warren, et al., Defendants

Law No,: LALA105144 - Division A

Rosenfeld Deposition, August 2015

In The Circuit Court of Ohio County, West Virginia

Robert Andrews, et al. v. Antero, et al.

Civil Action No. 14-C-30000

Rosenfeld Deposition, June 2015

In The Third Judicial District County of Dona Ana, New Mexico

Betty Gonzalez, et al. Plaintiffs vs. Del Oro Dairy, Del Oro Real Estate LLC, Jerry Settles and Deward

DeRuvter, Defendants

Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2015

In The Iowa District Court For Muscatine County

Laurie Freeman et. al. Plaintiffs vs. Grain Processing Corporation, Defendant

Case No 4980

Rosenfeld Deposition: May 2015

In the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit, in and For Broward County, Florida

Walter Hinton, et. al. Plaintiff, vs. City of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, a Municipality, Defendant.

Case Number CACE07030358 (26)

Rosenfeld Deposition: December 2014

In the United States District Court Western District of Oklahoma

Tommy McCarty, et al., Plaintiffs, v. Oklahoma City Landfill, LLC d/b/a Southeast Oklahoma City

Landfill, et al. Defendants.

Case No. 5:12-cv-01152-C

Rosenfeld Deposition: July 2014

In the County Court of Dallas County Texas

Lisa Parr et al, Plaintiff, vs. Aruba et al, Defendant.

Case Number cc-11-01650-E

Rosenfeld Deposition: March and September 2013

Rosenfeld Trial: April 2014

In the Court of Common Pleas of Tuscarawas County Ohio

John Michael Abicht, et al., Plaintiffs, vs. Republic Services, Inc., et al., Defendants

Case Number: 2008 CT 10 0741 (Cons. w/ 2009 CV 10 0987)

Rosenfeld Deposition: October 2012

In the United States District Court of Southern District of Texas Galveston Division

Kyle Cannon, Eugene Donovan, Genaro Ramirez, Carol Sassler, and Harvey Walton, each Individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, *Plaintiffs*, vs. BP Products North America, Inc., *Defendant*.

Case 3:10-cv-00622

Rosenfeld Deposition: February 2012

Rosenfeld Trial: April 2013

In the Circuit Court of Baltimore County Maryland

Philip E. Cvach, II et al., Plaintiffs vs. Two Farms, Inc. d/b/a Royal Farms, Defendants

Case Number: 03-C-12-012487 OT Rosenfeld Deposition: September 2013

EXHIBIT C



1640 5th St.., Suite 204 Santa Santa Monica, California 90401 Tel: (949) 887-9013

Email: mhagemann@swape.com

Matthew F. Hagemann, P.G., C.Hg., QSD, QSP

Geologic and Hydrogeologic Characterization
Industrial Stormwater Compliance
Investigation and Remediation Strategies
Litigation Support and Testifying Expert
CEQA Review

Education:

M.S. Degree, Geology, California State University Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 1984. B.A. Degree, Geology, Humboldt State University, Arcata, CA, 1982.

Professional Certifications:

California Professional Geologist
California Certified Hydrogeologist
Qualified SWPPP Developer and Practitioner

Professional Experience:

Matt has 25 years of experience in environmental policy, assessment and remediation. He spent nine years with the U.S. EPA in the RCRA and Superfund programs and served as EPA's Senior Science Policy Advisor in the Western Regional Office where he identified emerging threats to groundwater from perchlorate and MTBE. While with EPA, Matt also served as a Senior Hydrogeologist in the oversight of the assessment of seven major military facilities undergoing base closure. He led numerous enforcement actions under provisions of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) while also working with permit holders to improve hydrogeologic characterization and water quality monitoring.

Matt has worked closely with U.S. EPA legal counsel and the technical staff of several states in the application and enforcement of RCRA, Safe Drinking Water Act and Clean Water Act regulations. Matt has trained the technical staff in the States of California, Hawaii, Nevada, Arizona and the Territory of Guam in the conduct of investigations, groundwater fundamentals, and sampling techniques.

Positions Matt has held include:

- Founding Partner, Soil/Water/Air Protection Enterprise (SWAPE) (2003 present);
- Geology Instructor, Golden West College, 2010 2014;
- Senior Environmental Analyst, Komex H2O Science, Inc. (2000 -- 2003);

- Executive Director, Orange Coast Watch (2001 2004);
- Senior Science Policy Advisor and Hydrogeologist, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1989– 1998);
- Hydrogeologist, National Park Service, Water Resources Division (1998 2000);
- Adjunct Faculty Member, San Francisco State University, Department of Geosciences (1993 1998);
- Instructor, College of Marin, Department of Science (1990 1995);
- Geologist, U.S. Forest Service (1986 1998); and
- Geologist, Dames & Moore (1984 1986).

Senior Regulatory and Litigation Support Analyst:

With SWAPE, Matt's responsibilities have included:

- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of over 100 environmental impact reports
 since 2003 under CEQA that identify significant issues with regard to hazardous waste, water
 resources, water quality, air quality, Valley Fever, greenhouse gas emissions, and geologic
 hazards. Make recommendations for additional mitigation measures to lead agencies at the
 local and county level to include additional characterization of health risks and
 implementation of protective measures to reduce worker exposure to hazards from toxins
 and Valley Feyer.
- Stormwater analysis, sampling and best management practice evaluation at industrial facilities.
- Manager of a project to provide technical assistance to a community adjacent to a former Naval shipyard under a grant from the U.S. EPA.
- Technical assistance and litigation support for vapor intrusion concerns.
- Lead analyst and testifying expert in the review of environmental issues in license applications for large solar power plants before the California Energy Commission.
- Manager of a project to evaluate numerous formerly used military sites in the western U.S.
- Manager of a comprehensive evaluation of potential sources of perchlorate contamination in Southern California drinking water wells.
- Manager and designated expert for litigation support under provisions of Proposition 65 in the review of releases of gasoline to sources drinking water at major refineries and hundreds of gas stations throughout California.
- Expert witness on two cases involving MTBE litigation.
- Expert witness and litigation support on the impact of air toxins and hazards at a school.
- Expert witness in litigation at a former plywood plant.

With Komex H2O Science Inc., Matt's duties included the following:

- Senior author of a report on the extent of perchlorate contamination that was used in testimony by the former U.S. EPA Administrator and General Counsel.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of MTBE use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in the development of a comprehensive, electronically interactive chronology of perchlorate use, research, and regulation.
- Senior researcher in a study that estimates nationwide costs for MTBE remediation and drinking
 water treatment, results of which were published in newspapers nationwide and in testimony
 against provisions of an energy bill that would limit liability for oil companies.
- Research to support litigation to restore drinking water supplies that have been contaminated by MTBE in California and New York.

- Expert witness testimony in a case of oil production-related contamination in Mississippi.
- Lead author for a multi-volume remedial investigation report for an operating school in Los Angeles that met strict regulatory requirements and rigorous deadlines.

 Development of strategic approaches for cleanup of contaminated sites in consultation with clients and regulators.

Executive Director:

As Executive Director with Orange Coast Watch, Matt led efforts to restore water quality at Orange County beaches from multiple sources of contamination including urban runoff and the discharge of wastewater. In reporting to a Board of Directors that included representatives from leading Orange County universities and businesses, Matt prepared issue papers in the areas of treatment and disinfection of wastewater and control of the discharge of grease to sewer systems. Matt actively participated in the development of countywide water quality permits for the control of urban runoff and permits for the discharge of wastewater. Matt worked with other nonprofits to protect and restore water quality, including Surfrider, Natural Resources Defense Council and Orange County CoastKeeper as well as with business institutions including the Orange County Business Council.

Hydrogeology:

As a Senior Hydrogeologist with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Matt led investigations to characterize and cleanup closing military bases, including Mare Island Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, Treasure Island Naval Station, Alameda Naval Station, Moffett Field, Mather Army Airfield, and Sacramento Army Depot. Specific activities were as follows:

- Led efforts to model groundwater flow and contaminant transport, ensured adequacy of monitoring networks, and assessed cleanup alternatives for contaminated sediment, soil, and groundwater.
- Initiated a regional program for evaluation of groundwater sampling practices and laboratory analysis at military bases.
- Identified emerging issues, wrote technical guidance, and assisted in policy and regulation development through work on four national U.S. EPA workgroups, including the Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum and the Federal Facilities Forum.

At the request of the State of Hawaii, Matt developed a methodology to determine the vulnerability of groundwater to contamination on the islands of Maui and Oahu. He used analytical models and a GIS to show zones of vulnerability, and the results were adopted and published by the State of Hawaii and County of Maui.

As a hydrogeologist with the EPA Groundwater Protection Section, Matt worked with provisions of the Safe Drinking Water Act and NEPA to prevent drinking water contamination. Specific activities included the following:

- Received an EPA Bronze Medal for his contribution to the development of national guidance for the protection of drinking water.
- Managed the Sole Source Aquifer Program and protected the drinking water of two communities
 through designation under the Safe Drinking Water Act. He prepared geologic reports,
 conducted public hearings, and responded to public comments from residents who were very
 concerned about the impact of designation.

 Reviewed a number of Environmental Impact Statements for planned major developments, including large hazardous and solid waste disposal facilities, mine reclamation, and water transfer.

Matt served as a hydrogeologist with the RCRA Hazardous Waste program. Duties were as follows:

- Supervised the hydrogeologic investigation of hazardous waste sites to determine compliance with Subtitle C requirements.
- Reviewed and wrote "part B" permits for the disposal of hazardous waste.
- Conducted RCRA Corrective Action investigations of waste sites and led inspections that formed
 the basis for significant enforcement actions that were developed in close coordination with U.S.
 EPA legal counsel.
- Wrote contract specifications and supervised contractor's investigations of waste sites.

With the National Park Service, Matt directed service-wide investigations of contaminant sources to prevent degradation of water quality, including the following tasks:

- Applied pertinent laws and regulations including CERCLA, RCRA, NEPA, NRDA, and the Clean Water Act to control military, mining, and landfill contaminants.
- Conducted watershed-scale investigations of contaminants at parks, including Yellowstone and Olympic National Park.
- Identified high-levels of perchlorate in soil adjacent to a national park in New Mexico and advised park superintendent on appropriate response actions under CERCLA.
- Served as a Park Service representative on the Interagency Perchlorate Steering Committee, a national workgroup.
- Developed a program to conduct environmental compliance audits of all National Parks while serving on a national workgroup.
- Co-authored two papers on the potential for water contamination from the operation of personal watercraft and snowmobiles, these papers serving as the basis for the development of nationwide policy on the use of these vehicles in National Parks.
- Contributed to the Federal Multi-Agency Source Water Agreement under the Clean Water Action Plan.

Policy:

Served senior management as the Senior Science Policy Advisor with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9. Activities included the following:

- Advised the Regional Administrator and senior management on emerging issues such as the
 potential for the gasoline additive MTBE and ammonium perchlorate to contaminate drinking
 water supplies.
- Shaped EPA's national response to these threats by serving on workgroups and by contributing
 to guidance, including the Office of Research and Development publication, Oxygenates in
 Water: Critical Information and Research Needs.
- Improved the technical training of EPA's scientific and engineering staff.
- Earned an EPA Bronze Medal for representing the region's 300 scientists and engineers in negotiations with the Administrator and senior management to better integrate scientific principles into the policy-making process.
- Established national protocol for the peer review of scientific documents.

Geology:

With the U.S. Forest Service, Matt led investigations to determine hillslope stability of areas proposed for timber harvest in the central Oregon Coast Range. Specific activities were as follows:

- Mapped geology in the field, and used aerial photographic interpretation and mathematical models to determine slope stability.
- Coordinated his research with community members who were concerned with natural resource protection.
- Characterized the geology of an aquifer that serves as the sole source of drinking water for the city of Medford, Oregon.

As a consultant with Dames and Moore, Matt led geologic investigations of two contaminated sites (later listed on the Superfund NPL) in the Portland, Oregon, area and a large hazardous waste site in eastern Oregon. Duties included the following:

- Supervised year-long effort for soil and groundwater sampling.
- Conducted aquifer tests.
- Investigated active faults beneath sites proposed for hazardous waste disposal.

Teaching:

From 1990 to 1998, Matt taught at least one course per semester at the community college and university levels:

- At San Francisco State University, held an adjunct faculty position and taught courses in environmental geology, oceanography (lab and lecture), hydrogeology, and groundwater contamination.
- Served as a committee member for graduate and undergraduate students.
- Taught courses in environmental geology and oceanography at the College of Marin.

Matt taught physical geology (lecture and lab and introductory geology at Golden West College in Huntington Beach, California from 2010 to 2014.

Invited Testimony, Reports, Papers and Presentations:

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Presentation to the Public Environmental Law Conference, Eugene, Oregon.

Hagemann, M.F., 2008. Disclosure of Hazardous Waste Issues under CEQA. Invited presentation to U.S. EPA Region 9, San Francisco, California.

Hagemann, M.F., 2005. Use of Electronic Databases in Environmental Regulation, Policy Making and Public Participation. Brownfields 2005, Denver, Coloradao.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Nevada and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Las Vegas, NV (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Invited testimony to a California Senate committee hearing on air toxins at schools in Southern California, Los Angeles.

Brown, A., Farrow, J., Gray, A. and **Hagemann, M.**, 2004. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to the Ground Water and Environmental Law Conference, National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2004. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in Arizona and the Southwestern U.S. Presentation to a meeting of the American Groundwater Trust, Phoenix, AZ (served on conference organizing committee).

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River and Impacts to Drinking Water in the Southwestern U.S. Invited presentation to a special committee meeting of the National Academy of Sciences, Irvine, CA.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a tribal EPA meeting, Pechanga, CA.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate Contamination of the Colorado River. Invited presentation to a meeting of tribal repesentatives, Parker, AZ.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Impact of Perchlorate on the Colorado River and Associated Drinking Water Supplies. Invited presentation to the Inter-Tribal Meeting, Torres Martinez Tribe.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. The Emergence of Perchlorate as a Widespread Drinking Water Contaminant. Invited presentation to the U.S. EPA Region 9.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. A Deductive Approach to the Assessment of Perchlorate Contamination. Invited presentation to the California Assembly Natural Resources Committee.

Hagemann, M.F., 2003. Perchlorate: A Cold War Legacy in Drinking Water. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater and an Estimate of Costs to Address Impacts to Groundwater. Presentation to the annual meeting of the Society of Environmental Journalists.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of the Cost to Address MTBE Contamination in Groundwater (and Who Will Pay). Presentation to a meeting of the National Groundwater Association.

Hagemann, M.F., 2002. An Estimate of Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Underground Storage Tanks and the Resulting Impact to Drinking Water Wells. Presentation to a meeting of the U.S. EPA and State Underground Storage Tank Program managers.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. From Tank to Tap: A Chronology of MTBE in Groundwater. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Cleanup Cost for MTBE in Groundwater Used as Drinking Water. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., 2001. Estimated Costs to Address MTBE Releases from Leaking Underground Storage Tanks. Unpublished report.

Hagemann, M.F., and VanMouwerik, M., 1999. Potential Water Quality Concerns Related to Snowmobile Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

VanMouwerik, M. and **Hagemann**, M.F. 1999, Water Quality Concerns Related to Personal Watercraft Usage. Water Resources Division, National Park Service, Technical Report.

Hagemann, M.F., 1999, Is Dilution the Solution to Pollution in National Parks? The George Wright Society Biannual Meeting, Asheville, North Carolina.

Hagemann, M.F., 1997, The Potential for MTBE to Contaminate Groundwater. U.S. EPA Superfund Groundwater Technical Forum Annual Meeting, Las Vegas, Nevada.

Hagemann, M.F., and Gill, M., 1996, Impediments to Intrinsic Remediation, Moffett Field Naval Air Station, Conference on Intrinsic Remediation of Chlorinated Hydrocarbons, Salt Lake City.

Hagemann, M.F., Fukunaga, G.L., 1996, The Vulnerability of Groundwater to Anthropogenic Contaminants on the Island of Maui, Hawaii. Hawaii Water Works Association Annual Meeting, Maui, October 1996.

Hagemann, M. F., Fukanaga, G. L., 1996, Ranking Groundwater Vulnerability in Central Oahu, Hawaii. Proceedings, Geographic Information Systems in Environmental Resources Management, Air and Waste Management Association Publication VIP-61.

Hagemann, M.F., 1994. Groundwater Characterization and Cleanup at Closing Military Bases in California. Proceedings, California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

Hagemann, M.F. and Sabol, M.A., 1993. Role of the U.S. EPA in the High Plains States Groundwater Recharge Demonstration Program. Proceedings, Sixth Biennial Symposium on the Artificial Recharge of Groundwater.

Hagemann, M.F., 1993. U.S. EPA Policy on the Technical Impracticability of the Cleanup of DNAPL-contaminated Groundwater. California Groundwater Resources Association Meeting.

Hagemann, M.F., 1992. Dense Nonaqueous Phase Liquid Contamination of Groundwater: An Ounce of Prevention... Proceedings, Association of Engineering Geologists Annual Meeting, v. 35.

Other Experience:

Selected as subject matter expert for the California Professional Geologist licensing examination, 2009-2011.

Attachment to Appeal Form

On behalf of the Southwest Regional Council of Carpenters ("Appellants" or "Southwest Carpenters"), my Office is submitting this Appeal in response to the City of Burbank ("City" or "Lead Agency") Planning Board's ("Board") decision to approve the Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment ("SCEA") (SCH No. 2021070154) for the proposed 2311 N. Hollywood Way Project, also known as the Burbank Aero Crossings Project ("Project"). Appellants request that the City Council reverse the Board's decision to approve the Project's SCEA, and to require either that the SCEA be revised and recirculated to address the concerns outlined below, or that the City prepare an environmental impact report for the Project.

Appellants incorporate by reference all comments raising issues regarding the SCEA submitted prior to adoption of the SCEA for the Project. *Citizens for Clean Energy v City of Woodland* (2014) 225 Cal. App. 4th 173, 191 (finding that any party who has objected to the Project's environmental documentation may assert any issue timely raised by other parties).

I. THE BOARD'S ADOPTION OF THE SCEA VIOLATES THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT

A. <u>Background Regarding Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessments</u>

To achieve its objectives of environmental protection, the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), Cal Public Resources Code ("PRC") § 21000 et seq., has a three-tiered structure. 14 California Code of Regulations ("CCR" or "CEQA Guidelines") § 15002(k); Comm. to Save the Hollywoodland Specific Plan v. City of Los Angeles (2008) 161 Cal.App.4th 1168, 1185 – 86. First, if a project falls into an exempt category, or it can be seen with certainty that the activity in question will not have a significant effect on the environment, no further agency evaluation is required. Id. Second, if there is a possibility the project will have a significant effect on the environment, the agency must perform a threshold initial study. Id.; CEQA Guidelines § 15063(a). If the study indicates that there is no substantial evidence that the project may cause a significant effect on the environment the agency may issue a negative declaration. Id., CEQA Guidelines §§ 15063(b)(2), 15070. Finally, if the project will have a significant effect on the environment, an EIR is required. Id.