

ARGUMENT AND REBUTTAL FORMK City Clerk 08:14-20m11:13

| ELECTION DATE: | HOVEMBER 3, 2020 MEASURE I.D. (if any): | _ |
|-----------------|--|---|
| JURISDICTION: _ | CITY OF BURBANK, CA. | - |
| | (Please mark (x) in the appropriate box) | |
| | ☐ Argument in Favor ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against ☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor | |

Statements will be printed in uniform type, style and spacing. Use block paragraphs and single space format. Text submitted indented or centered will be typeset in block paragraph form. Entire statements in all capital letters are not acceptable. Indentations, circles, stars, dots, italics and/or bullets cannot be accommodated. However, you may use dashes/hyphens. Words to be printed in boldface type, underscored and/or CAPITALIZED are to be clearly indicated. Any combinations of enhanced words are counted as one word. All statements should be checked by the authors for spelling and punctuation as the elections official is not permitted to edit any material contained therein. NOTE: Rebuttal arguments are not direct arguments. For example, a rebuttal to a direct argument in favor of a measure is NOT a direct argument against a measure. Please also note that rebuttal arguments are allowed only when both a direct argument for AND against a measure are filed.

ALL AUTHORS MUST SIGN ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Please attach typed statement to this form. Statements should be typed in upper and lower case letters. Statement will be typeset in the Official Sample Ballot Booklet using TIMES NEW ROMAN font in 11 point size. However, statements can be submitted using any standard font.

SEE ATTACHED

LOS ANGELES COUNTY REGISTRAR-RECORDER/COUNTY CLERK

NUMBER OF WORDS:
PROJECT CODE NUMBER:
ELECTION DEPUTY:

ELECTION PLANNING SECTION (562) 462-2317

DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OF ARGUMENTS OR REBUTTALS

(Elections Code Section 9600)

All arguments concerning measures filed pursuant to Division 9 of the Elections Code shall be accompanied by the following declaration to be signed by each author of the argument/rebuttal. Names and titles listed will be printed in the Voter Information portion of the Official Sample Ballot Booklet in the order provided below.

| Information portion of the Official Sai | nple Ballot Booklet in the order prov | vided below. | |
|--|--|--|-------|
| The undersigned author(s) of the: | ☐ Argument in Favor ☐ Argument Against | ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against☐ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor |] , |
| of ballot measure 2020 Burk EVICTION AND RENT election for the | ANT JUST CAUGE. RESERVED TON MEASUR | at the GENERAL MUNICIPAL EI RE (title of election) to be he | |
| NOVEMBER 3, | 2020 hereb | by state that such argument is true and correct t | o the |
| (date) | aliaf | | |
| 1. Print Print Title o Appe | h grand Argument | 08/14/2050 | |
| 2. VICE MAYOL | d Name | AUG. 14, 2020 | |
| counce me | d Name Well ar on Argument | 6-A-20 Date | |
| Council | A LA MANTES G Name May Gar ar on Argument | 1 8-19-20 | |
| 5. Louns, Ime | RAHA MBR ar on Argument | 8/19/2D Date | |
| designated filer of the above titled army contact information Mailing Address: Contact Numbers: | gument/rebuttal. Please notify me of the second sec | of any questions pertaining to this filing. Below E-Mail Address Guddy of Logical Plants of Fax | |
| OFFICE | USE ONLY Word Counts | Time Stamp | |
| | | | |

20/

| Vote NO on Measure |
|---|
| Measure is poorly written; paid for by outside special interests, and could take millions from Burbank's budget to build a bureaucracy without oversight or accountability. It reflects a lack of understanding of our Burbank community, our affordable housing needs, the needs of our tenants and the vulnerability of our Mom-and-Pop landlords. Burbank deserves better. |
| Effective January 1, 2020, The California Tenant Protection Act - AB 1482, limits rent increases and sets rules for Just Cause Evictions for <u>every</u> Californian. Despite having statewide comprehensive renter protection, you are being asked to vote for this ill-conceived Measure that establishes a new Burbank Landlord-Tenant Commission outside the City Charter with unchecked authority to: |
| - Set its own budget and salaries - Establish additional landlord fees - Hire outside attorneys - Initiate lawsuits - Issue subpoenas |
| Programs in comparable cities indicate this Measure may cost Burbank as much as \$6,000,000 with 24 staff. This pureaucracy will have access to your tax dollars without answering to anyone, taking money from existing Burbank services and programs for our residents, families, and seniors. |
| This Measure would discourage development of desperately needed affordable housing and place additional burdens or our long-term Mom-and-Pop owners and residents. Proponents could have proposed Charter amendments but didn't Their refusal to work within the Charter (our community constitution) should raise serious questions for everyone. |
| Far from being local, this Measure was financed by organizations from Sacramento, Bay Area, and Los Angeles Decisions affecting Burbank should be made in Burbank, not by outsiders. Burbank is already protected by statewide rent control. An unaccountable Commission using our tax dollars to promote its own agenda is bad government. |
| This Measure is potentially devastating for Burbank. Protect the integrity of Burbank housing, its Charter, and community Read the full consequences in the City's 9212 Report at www.burbankca.gov. |
| Vote NO on Measure |