

RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION OF THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK DESIGNATING THE HOUSE AT 1515 EAST ALAMEDA AVENUE AS A HISTORIC RESOURCE, PURSUANT TO BURBANK MUNICIPAL CODE SECTION 10-1-927(D)

(PROJECT NUMBER 24-0000695, DESIGNATION OF A HISTORIC RESOURCE)

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK FINDS:

A. The Heritage Commission of the City of Burbank at its regular public meeting of September 4, 2025, considered Project No. 24-0000695, a request by Patricia Tomaszewski, owner of 1515 East Alameda Avenue, to designate the exterior the house on the property as a Historic Resource in accordance with Burbank Municipal Code (BMC) Section 10-1-925, et seq.

B. Said meeting was properly held in accordance with the provisions of Section 10-1-927(C).

C. The Heritage Commission determined that the house at 1515 East Alameda Avenue satisfies BMC Section 10-1-926(C) to be approved as a Designated Historic Resource because it embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction.

D. The Heritage Commission recommended the City Council approve Project No. 24-0000695, an application to designate the house at 1515 East Alameda Avenue as a Historic Resource as described above and in the Heritage Commission Staff Report dated September 4, 2025.

E. On February 10, 2026, the City Council at its regular meeting, held a public hearing on Project No. 24-0000695, an application to designate a historic resource at 1515 East Alameda Avenue.

F. Said hearing was properly noticed in accordance with the provisions of BMC Section 10-1-1994.

G. The City Council considered the report and recommendations of the City Planner, the action and recommendations of the Heritage Commission as evidenced by its Resolution _____, and the evidence and testimony presented at such hearing.

H. The Council has determined that the house at 1515 East Alameda Avenue satisfies BMC Section 10-1-926(C) because it embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values.

ATTACHMENT 1 – 2

I. The proposed designation was evaluated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the designation is exempt from further CEQA review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308, Class 8 pertaining to Actions by Regulatory Agencies for the Protection of the Environment and there are no unusual circumstances that would preclude the use of this exemption.

J. The documents and other materials that constitute the record of proceedings, upon which the decision to recommend approval of the proposed Resolution, including the designation of a historic resource (Project No. 24-0000695) and associated environmental assessment that the project qualifies for a common sense exemption under CEQA or in the alternative, is not a “project” subject to CEQA review, is located in the Planning Division of the City of Burbank and the custodian of record is the City Planner.

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF BURBANK RESOVLES:

1. The findings above are true and correct, and incorporated herein.
2. Project No. 24-0000695, an application to designate the house at 1515 East Alameda Avenue as a Historic Resource as described above and in the Heritage Commission Staff Report dated September 4, 2025, and as reflected in the Council’s February 10, 2026, Staff Report hereto, is approved.
3. The City Council’s approval of the Project, which designates it a Historic Resource, is based on the Council’s determination that it satisfies the following criteria for approval as a Designated Historic Resource as provided in BMC Section 10-1-926:

C. Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values.

The house is an intact example of a Craftsman architectural style single-family residence constructed during the Residential Development Boom period of 1912-1928.

4. The City Council’s approval of the Project has been evaluated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and is exempt from further CEQA review pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15308, Class 8 pertaining to Actions by Regulatory Agencies for the Protection of the Environment and there are no unusual circumstances that would preclude the use of this exemption. Additionally, the documents and other materials that constitute the record of proceedings related to the designation, upon which the decision was made to recommend approval of the proposed Resolution, qualifies for a commonsense exemption under CEQA or in the alternative, is not a “project” subject to CEQA review, and therefore requires no further evaluation.

ATTACHMENT 1 – 3

5. The City Council authorizes any change deemed necessary by the City Attorney to the Resolution to maintain consistency with applicable State laws and City regulations and procedures.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this _____ day of _____, 2026.

**Tamala Takahashi
Mayor**

Attest:

Approved as to Form:
Office of the City Attorney

Kimberley Clark, City Clerk

By: _____
Jill Vander Borght
Chief Assistant City Attorney

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES) ss.
CITY OF BURBANK)

I, Kimberley Clark, City Clerk of the City of Burbank, do hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly and regularly passed and adopted by the Council of the City of Burbank at its regular meeting held on the _____ day of _____, 2026, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

Kimberley Clark, City Clerk